Summary 2.1 Manuscripts and Editions. – 2.2 Layout and Poetic Structure. – 2.2.1 Prosody. – 2.3 Language and Spelling Conventions. – 2.4 Structure and Content. – 2.4.1 Analysis of the Individual Sections: Topoi and Use of Verbal and Nominal Forms. – 2.5 The "Wisdom Section": Content and Intertextual Perspectives. – 2.6 Edition. – 2.6.1 Text. – 2.6.2 Commentary.

2.1 Manuscripts and Editions

The so-called *Great Prayer to Nabû* is preserved in the large manuscript K.2361+K.3193+K.14033+K.18434+Sm.389+Sm.601 (MS A) and on two small fragments, K.15248 and K.21022 (MSS B₁ and B₂). All fragments come from the Nineveh palace libraries, but no colophon is extant. Both manuscripts are written in Neo-Assyrian script and show horizontal rulings every two lines, thus marking the poetic couplets. MS A is a two-column tablet; the original format of MS B cannot be reconstructed.

The first edition of the text dates back to 1889, when Brünnow published copies of K.2361+K.3193+Sm.389.² Brünnow transliterated the

¹ It is difficult to establish a more precise provenance. Manuscript A has low K numbers, and therefore comes probably from the South West Palace (cf. Reade 2000, 422, George 2003, 386). The findspot of manuscript B, though, is unknown.

² Brünnow 1889, 236-42.

text, but did not offer a translation. The first attempt at translating the Nabû Prayer was made by von Soden, who included this text in his Sumerische und Akkadische Hymnen und Gebete edited with Falkenstein.³ In 1954, von Soden collated the tablets in the British Museum and identified additional fragments belonging to MS A: K.14033. Sm.601 and K.11373. The latter, however, is not part of the prayer, and has been identified as belonging to a divinatory text. Based on his collations, von Soden was able to restore some additional lines: he also discovered the fragment K.15248, which represents the only duplicate known so far (MS B). Recently, T. Mitto identified K.21022. a poorly preserved fragment which could potentially belong to the same manuscript as K.15248, even though the two fragments do not join directly. Finally, K.18434 was identified by E. Jiménez as part of the main manuscript (A).

In 1971, von Soden published a second comprehensive edition of the prayer,⁵ with a transliteration, translation and philological commentary. Von Soden provided new copies of neither the published fragments, nor of the unpublished fragments. Seux offered a translation with philological notes in his anthology. Foster translated the text as well, basing his readings and interpretations mostly on von Soden's previous edition. An online edition of this text has been prepared by the author within the eBL project and is available on the eBL platform. It includes an updated translation by B. Foster, but no philological commentary.

2.2 Layout and Poetic Structure

Both manuscripts share the same layout characterised by a ruling after every second line, which led scholars in the past to designate the *Great Hymns and Prayers* as 'hymns in paragraphs'. This formal feature reflects the poetic structure of the texts that were subdivided into couplets and quatrains. The division into couplets agrees with the typical structure of Akkadian and Sumerian hymns and prayers:

- 3 Falkenstein, von Soden 1953.
- 4 This fragment seems to show parallels with the divinatory series Šumma ālu. Transliteration and photo of K.11373 is available on the eBL platform: https://www.ebl.lmu. de/fragmentarium/K.11373.
- 5 Von Soden 1971, 44-71.
- 6 Seux 1976, 181-5.
- 7 Von Soden 2005, 621-6.
- 8 Rozzi 2022b.
- 9 See Lambert 1959-60, 48.

a succession of quatrains consisting of two couplets that are distinguished only through the delayed introduction of the deity's name: ¹⁰ In the first couplet, the deity is usually referred to by epithet (most commonly *bēlu* 'lord') or not named at all, whereas his or her proper name is introduced in the first line of the second couplet. This *variatio* is normally the only difference between the first and the second couplet. Despite the numerous *lacunae*, the extant text demonstrates that this type of alternation is consistently used throughout the first 56 lines of the *Nabû Prayer*, and then occasionally in the rest of the text. ¹¹ The subdivision into couplets runs through the whole text, but is interrupted in ll. 175-80, where the ruling is placed after three lines rather than two. However, while ll. 175-7, though spread across three lines, maintain the structure of the couplet, ll. 178-80 form a tercet.

The scribe not only indicated couplets (and the tercet) in the layout of the tablet, but also indicated a division in the middle of the lines: in ll. 1-36 he left a *spatium* that subdivided each line into two halves. From l. 37 until the end of the first column, he marked two halves of the line with a fine, vertical ruling. These subdivisions of the line mark a metrical break, and each half-line may be considered a hemistich of a poetic line (or verse). Although an indication of the *caesura* is consistently present only in the first column, the poetic lines in the rest of the prayer probably had a similar structure. As far as we can see from the preserved lines, the indication of the metrical break in the layout was probably only executed where the limited length of the line left the scribe enough space to do so.

2.2.1 Prosody

Since many lines are only partially preserved, a comprehensive metrical analysis of the text is difficult. Based on the complete lines, the usage of the so-called *clausula accadica* is apparent. We can observe this specific pattern, a *trochaeus* at the end of the line, in almost all complete or restorable poetic lines, as well as in the lines where at least the final word is extant (a total of 98 lines). The sole exceptions are the parallel ll. 25 and 27, which end in a long, closed syllable $(nekelm\hat{u}k)$.

The final trochee is also often found at the end of the first hemistich, immediately preceding the *caesura*. If we take into account all

¹⁰ As noted above (chapter 1, § 1.2.3), this feature is also known as 'lyrical repetition'. Cf. chapter 5, § 5.2.4.1.2 *sub* "Delayed Introduction".

¹¹ Von Soden 1971, 47.

¹² For several studies on the Akkadian metre, see above chapter 1, § 1.2.3.

lines that allow us to examine their first hemistich (103 lines),¹³ we count 86 lines that end in a trochee before the metrical break. The exceptions are:

ll. 38, 40: <i>lipšaḫā</i>	l. 93, 101: <i>Nabû</i>	l. 121: <i>zakâ</i>
ll. 41, 43: <i>palkû</i>	l. 97: <i>imkû</i>	l. 210: ṣuṣê
l. 77: šūṣû	l. 104: tattadi	l. 211: <i>erbê</i>
l. 79: amû	l. 115: <i>šurdû</i>	ll. 221/223: tanittaka

Nevertheless, von Soden does not treat words with final ultraheavy vowels as exceptions to the *clausula accadica*, but instead suggests that they maintain the same accentuation of standard trochaic endings (e.g. $N\dot{a}b\dot{u}$). Huehnergard and Knudsen, on the other hand, analyse final ultraheavy vowels as containing two syllables, namely a long vowel which bears the *ictus* and a short unaccented vowel immediately following. Contract vowels are hence counted as 'virtual trochees'. According to this reconstruction, a word such $Nab\dot{u}$ should be scanned as $nab\bar{u}\check{u}$, and accented on the long, penultimate syllable.

Jiménez follows this second interpretation, and furthermore hypotheses that this accentuation might be due to a pseudo-archaising system of recitation.¹⁷

Overall, the poetic lines in the *Nabû Prayer* are structured according to the most common metrical pattern of Akkadian epic and hymnic texts: Four metrical units in each line are divided by a *caesura*, so that a single verse is characterised by a 2+2 structure. Single words, genitive chains (simple: e.g. l. 176 *šuršurrū ḥinzurri*, or composed, e.g. l. 49 *ina gipiš edê*), and pairs of words (e.g. standard formulas such as 'heaven and earth', 'above and below', 'night and day', 'father and mother') are taken as one metrical unit. Genitive chains and word pairs, however, seem to have a variable metrical length and probably should be considered *anceps*. Some particles

¹³ The following lines are too damaged and have been excluded here: 95-6, 104-13; 130-71, 123-4, 127-8.

¹⁴ Von Soden states that the Babylonian metrical system was rhythmic; he takes into account not only words ending with ultraheavy vowels at the end of the last foot, but also those within the poetic line (von Soden 1981, 104-5).

¹⁵ Knudsen 1980, 14; Huehnergard 2011, 395. Cf. Helle 2014, 58.

¹⁶ Huehnergard 2011, 395.

¹⁷ Jiménez 2017a, 227.

¹⁸ Lambert 1960, 66; Hecker 1974, 113; West 1997, 176.

¹⁹ Lambert 2013, 23-5; cf. also West 1997, 175-87.

²⁰ Lambert 2013, 25.

and prepositions do not count as one metrical unit (e.g. ša, ana, ina, adi, kīma).

To provide some examples: a poetic line like *ibli* ina $q\hat{e}$ $\check{s}ibq\bar{i}$ || ana $para\check{a}'i$ $l\bar{e}mu$ (l. 51) will be analysed as composed of two metrical units in the first hemistich (verb + preposition with genitive chain) and two metrical units in the second (preposition with infinitive + adjective), therefore resulting in a standard 2+2 structure. A hemistich like $bal\bar{a}sa$ u $utn\bar{e}n\check{s}u$ (l. 216) will be taken as composed of two metrical units, formed by two nouns connected by a particle. As mentioned above, the indication of the caesura in the layout, i.e. the interposed space or the vertical ruling in the middle of a line, is consistent only in the first column of the main manuscript. Where the manuscript lacks such a graphic indication, it is still possible to count the words according to the general rules, by scanning for four-unit lines. Taking into account only the lines that are complete or sufficiently preserved to allow an analysis (82 lines), we count 66 lines of the 2+2 type. Two parallel lines seem to have a 3+1 structure:

```
³¹[bēlu] rēštû nūḫ || ana surri
[...]
³º[Na]bû rēštû nūḫ || ana surri
```

In this couplet the vertical spacing representing the break was clearly inserted before $ana\ surri$, implying a single metrical unit in the second hemistich. It is not impossible, however, that the scribe placed the caesura in the wrong position. An incorrect division of the poetic line and a mechanical use of the vertical ruling between the hemistichs is a common phenomenon. It is attested both in epics and, in particular, in the $Great\ Hymns\ and\ Prayers$. One could therefore move the caesura forward (after $r\bar{e}st\hat{u}$) and analyse the line as a standard 2+2. The subdivision in hemistichs in the present prayer not only follows formal criteria, but also takes into account the semantic structure of the line. It is, however, difficult to see why a subdivision "Supreme lord, be appeased || in an instant" would be preferable over "Supreme lord, || be appeased in an instant".

Some lines appear to show a hypermeter. Compare for instance the following two parallel lines (first and third line of a quatrain):

²¹ Restored lines have been excluded, unless the restorations were highly probable. Damaged lines have been considered only if preserved in such a way as to leave no doubt about the number of metrical units per hemistich.

²² Lambert 2013, 20 notices the occurrence of *caesurae* at the wrong place in *Enūma eliš* (I 19 and I 36), and the inconsistent division of couplets in the *Šamaš Hymn* (Lambert 1960, 123). Reiner 1985, 69 mentions the *Šamaš Hymn* on the same subject, and Oshima 2011, 220 observes similar incongruities in *Marduk*2.

```
<sup>53</sup>ahuz qāssu || lā immês<sup>23</sup> aradka
55d Nabû ahuz aāssu || lā immês aradka
```

We can recognise a 2+2 structure in the first line and a 3+2 in the second. In total, there are eight lines of the 3+2 type (ll. 55, 57-8. 175, 184, 189, 207, 214). 24 Ll. 183, 185 and 186 have a 3+3 structure.

2.3 Language and Spelling Conventions

Like the other Akkadian hymns and prayers under study, the *Nabû* Prayer presents some typical features of the so-called 'hymno-epic dialect' (see chapter 1, § 1.2.4). As noted above, von Soden and, in his footsteps, Groneberg, 25 identified the main phonological, morphological and lexical characteristics of this literary idiom as follows:

- Apocope and elision of vowels in enclitic personal pronouns
- Use of specific determinative (e.g. \check{sat} , \check{sut}), interrogative (mannu, mīnû) and indefinite pronouns (ayyu, ayyum-ma, mamman and mimma)
- Special forms of the noun in the *status constructus* (e.g. *status* constructus in -u)
- Use of the locative and terminative cases (-um and -iš; occasionally their combined form -uš).
- Shortened forms of the prepositions ina, ana and eli.
- Use of the prefix (ta-) to mark the singular feminine in the verb conjugation.
- Use of the ŠD-stem.
- Use of a high-register vocabulary (including *hapax legomena*)

Goodnick Westenholz²⁶ adds the inverted word order to these characteristics, and Krebernik²⁷ mentions the usage of uncontracted vowels. Only some of these features occur in the present prayer:

²³ The spelling actually suggests a form *immessu* with overhanging vowel; see von Soden 1971, 63.

²⁴ However, it is highly possible that there are other hypermetrical lines, for example, ll. 25/27 or 36, but a metric analysis is challenging because the beginnings of these lines are fragmented.

²⁵ Von Soden 1931, 163-227 and 1933, 90-183; Groneberg 1978, 15; Hess 2010, 102-22. Cf. also Lambert 2013, 33-4, who investigated the usage of this literary style in the Enūma eliš and Jiménez 2017a, 76-9, who provided a study of the use of the hymno-epic dialect in the "Akkadian Disputations Poems".

²⁶ Goodnick Westenholz 1997a, 26.

²⁷ Krebernik 2003-04, 11.

Locative and terminative:

ll. 84 and 192 aibītukka: l. 90 ištarāniš: l. 124 dāriš: l. 178 pisnuaiš and lallāriš: l. 179 daddariš.

In Il. 118/120 and in I. 178 the word ahrâtaš occurs. As noted by Lambert discussing this form in *Enūma eliš* (2013, 40-1), this form is probably the result of a scribal error, due to the misreading of the sign UR as $ta\check{s}$ instead of $ti\check{s}_a$. In fact, the form $ahr\hat{a}ta\check{s}$ is only attested in first millennium manuscripts, and it can be assumed that the original form was ahrâtiš. In the Old Babylonian period the form ahrêtiš is indeed attested (see Lambert 2023, 40).

Apocope of personal pronouns:

ll. 21/23 [šaai]mmuk (si vera lectio) and lā padûk; ll. 25/27 amā] ruk (si vera lectio), nekelmûk; l. 49 elīš; l. 50 nesīš; l. 54 šērtuš and *šūlīš*: ll. 100/102 baluk: ll. 117/119 nalbābuk: ll. 118/120 rašubbatuk: l. 173 illurtaš (si vera lectio).

Use of the interrogative pronoun *mīnû*:

1. 79 mīnâ; 1. 97 a[na mīnâ i]mkû (si vera lectio).

Rare words and hapax legomena:

ll. 21/23 šagimmu 'roar'; ll. 38/40 tīrānu 'mercy'; ll. 41/43 muk*kallu* 'priest' (mng. uncertain) and *ešeštu* 'knowledge(?)' (hapax); ll. 45/47 *šalbābu* 'furious'; l. 78 *taltaltu* 'pollen(?)' (hapax, mng. uncertain); ll. 91/93 tele'û 'capable'; ll. 92/94 temēšu 'forgiving'; ll. 104 and 149 dušmû 'servant'; l. 140 kingallu 'leader of the assembly': l. 44 zunzunu 'locust(?)': l. 149 abdu 'servant': l. 173 aunnabru 'fetter'; l. 176 šuršurru 'fruit(?)', hinzurru 'apple-tree(?)'; 1. 177 mesheru 'young man(?)' (hapax), šēdītu 'maiden(?)' (hapax); l. 178 lallāriš 'like syrup' (hapax), pisnugiš 'pitiable'; l. 180 tadmīgu 'high-quality date'; l. 181 antu 'ear of barley' (uncertain); l. 184 šēzuzu and tayyāru 'raging' and 'merciful'; l. 185 ahammu 'especially': ll. 207/209 nakrutu, as infinitive from karātu N 'to have mercy'; 28 l. 210 šingu 'village'; l. 217 atnu 'prayer'.

Status constructus ending in -u:

l. 176 šēru rēsūtīva.

Inverted word order, i.e. the placement of verbal forms in the penultimate position or in first position, e.g.:

l. 115 idāt lumni šurdû ušabraršu? pūt $[a^{?}]$; l. 128 [...] ... bitrê unamgarū kars[ī]; l. 175 ana kal māti ummāti ušahlâ manīt[u]; l. 187/189 (Nabû) tasannig aradka nappaša šu[pte?].

In addition to these characteristics, the manuscripts of the Nabu Prayer display a remarkable inconsistency in the spelling of the case endings.²⁹

Nouns in the nominative case mostly show the traditional case ending in -u, but may also end in -a or in -i. Nominative singular forms in -a are not often attested:

ll. 45/47 šal-ba-ba (epithet; MS A); l. 82 šà-sur-ra (uncertain; MS A); l. 181 ŠE-am (subject in an intransitive clause; it should be considered a pseudo-logogram; MS A).

The nominative singular in -i occurs more frequently (all attestations are found in MS A):

ll. 17/19 na-ad-ri (predicate nominal clause); ll. 21/23 gir-ri (predicate nominal clause); ll. 29/31 ri-i-bi (predicate nominal clause); ll. 37/39 reš-ti-i (epithet); l. 50 kib-ri (subject nominal clause); l. 129 ta-^rpul[?]-ti¹ (si vera lectio).

The genitive endings are irregular as well, and besides the usual -i. one finds also nouns in the genitive case that end in -u:

ll. 30/32 šá gin-nu (uncertain; MS A); ll. 42/44 šu-ka-a-mu (āšiš šukāmi, epithet; MS A); l. 52 ru-šum-du, ina na-ri-it-tu (MS A); ll. 54/56 ina na-ri-it-tu (MS A); l. 57 ina nap-la-qu (MS A); l. 58 [in] a pi-i le-'u-ú (MS A); l. 115 i-da-at lum-nu (MSS A and B₁); l. 147 a-na ka-ša-du (MS A); l. 146 a-na im-mu u mu-šu (MS A); l. 176 šur-šúru hi-in-zur-ru (uncertain; MS A); ll. 213/215 [ana kal] da-ad-mu (MS A).

The accusative singular may end in -*u* (all MS A):

ll. 30 *qin-nu* (uncertain, context broken); l. 18 *ta-na-aš-šar hi-is-bu*; l. 164 lum-n[u] (uncertain); l. 80 a-šu-uš-tu4 ni-is-sa-t[u4]; l. 185 ma-ru áš-ru; l. 186 ma-ru la áš-ru; ll. 187/189 nap-pa-šu; in l. 205 nalk-ru-ut could also be interpreted as nag-ru-tú, for nagruta, see the commentary on this line.

Accusative singular in -i occurs too and can be found in three passages:

l. 20 ta-na-aš-šar hi-is-bi (MS A); l. 125 hu-up-šú (MS A); l. 182 bil-ti \dot{u} -[tar?] (uncertain: MS A).

Also attested are special status constructus forms of the noun ending in -a and in -i:

ll. 42-4 a-ši-ši šu-ka-a-mu (MS A): l. 174 a-na da-na-na i-ri-a-ti (MS A).

The mimation of case endings is normally not written explicitly in this text, with the exception of very few frozen or consciously archaising forms:

ll. 91/93 ti-le-é-a-um (MS A, for tele'û); l. 181 ŠE-am (MS A, pseudo-logogram for \hat{u}).

Note also an-nam in ll. 79 and 85-6, in which NAM might stand for / nv/. The context here, however, is unclear.

Apart from these writings, we find attestations of the sign TUM in word-final position, employed in nominative and genitive singular feminine nouns, but apparently never in accusative. The majority of the attestations show the use of TUM in the nominative (all the following attestations are found in MS A):

ll. 25/27 [u]r-pa- tu_{A} ; l. 80 a-su-us- tu_{A} ; l. 179 a-la-mit- tu_{A} .

There are three passages for the genitive:

ll. 41/43 muk-kal-li e-še-eš-tu₄; l. 175 a-na kal ma-^rtu₄¹.

Lastly, the sign LUM in word-final position seems to be used, but only in $be-lu_{\Lambda}$ (passim).

With regard to the verbal forms, it should be noted that second-weak verbs in some cases show overhanging vowels (all attestations are in MS A):

ll. 26/28 tu-ka-ni for tukān; l. 88 i-šá-bi for išâb; l. 207 [re]-^re¹-mì for rēm.

In one case, a verb ultimae infirmae seems to present an unexpected final vowel:

l. 109 ir-ra-qu for irraqi (uncertain).

The morphological and stylistic features, together with the lexical peculiarities, are typical of late manuscripts. The date of composition of this text is uncertain, although scholars have suggested the first millennium, or the Cassite period. However, as with the *Šamaš Hymn* or *Marduk*1, it is possible that the present text is a reworking of an earlier version.

2.4 Structure and Content

The fragmentary state of the manuscript prevents a comprehensive interpretation of the prayer. It is nevertheless still possible to identify several thematic sections, which agree with the standard structure of Akkadian prayers:³¹ the first eight lines are missing, but it is reasonable to suppose that the text opened with the conventional invocation, the hymnic opening in which the god was recognised and invoked with standard epithets and names ("Hymnic Introduction".32 ll. 1-44). This first section also included the praises of the deity, which probably started from l. 9: in this part various divine aspects and prerogatives are listed. From l. 37, typical motifs of prayer appear: this portion of the text forms the second section, which is devoted to the lament ("Penitential Section", Il. 45-173). In fact, along with praises and occasional epithets, there are also lines including pleas for divine assistance (ll. 37, 39, 53, 55-6) and descriptions of the sufferer's condition (Il. 48-52). The lines that follow are too poorly preserved to allow a content analysis. However, we observe the return of a penitential tone from 1, 91, where a special focus on the sufferer's sins (11, 91-4) and on Nabû's mercy (ll. 99-102) is apparent. This was probably succeeded by the description of the misfortunes and sorrows that had befallen the sufferer, interspersed with new praises of Nabû (ll. 117-21; 174-7). In the lines following l. 140, other deities and demons are mentioned (e.g. ll. 143 and 145), and more symptoms of the penitent's disease are

³⁰ Cf. Lambert 1959-60, 48; von Soden 1971, 47. On the manuscript tradition and the datation of the *Great Hymns and Prayers*, see chapter 1, § 1.2.2.

³¹ More specifically, incantation prayers. For the basic structure of Mesopotamian prayers, I follow von Soden 1957-71, 161, who identifies four sections: 1) "Der Lobpreis des Gottes" 2) "Die Klage" 3) "Die Bitte" 4) "Das Dankversprechen". Mayer, in his work on Akkadian *šuilla* prayers, includes yet another element, "Das Tun des Beters", namely the description of the supplicant's behaviour (Mayer 1976, 36-7). Cf. Oshima 2011, 14-19, who also provides a structure for Akkadian Prayers, basing his classification on von Soden's study. Cf. also Frechette *apud* Lenzi 2011, 27-9. The structure of Mesopotamian prayers partially overlaps with that of hymns. Metcalf (2015, 25), for example, divides Mesopotamian Hymns into three basic sections: *Invocatio, Preces, Salutatio*. The same terms are used by Pohl (2022) in her recent treatment of Old Babylonian hymns. Cf. also Zgoll 2003a, 30-1, who also employs a three-part structure in her analysis of *Šuillas*. For the differences between Akkadian hymns and prayers, see chapter 1, § 1.1.1.

³² For a more detailed analysis of each section, see below § 2.4.1.

listed. In ll. 178-86 lamentations give way to a short wisdom section ("Wisdom Section", ll. 174-86). With l. 187 a new section opens, characterised by pleas for the god's help expressed through a series of precatives in the second hemistich ("Plea", ll. 187-209). This final part of the text contains yet another section, namely the salutation to the deity ("Final Salutation", from l. 211): the author glorifies Nabû, stressing his pre-eminence among the other gods and proclaiming the importance of his worship among people (ll. 211-25).

The prayer can therefore be divided into five sections:

- 1. "Hymnic Introduction" (ll. 1-44): possible invocation, and praises of the god, description of his powers and characteristics.
- 2. "Penitential Section" (ll. 45-173): description of suffering and requests for aid. Hymnic break (ll. 117-77).
- 3. "Wisdom Section" (ll. 178-86).
- 4. "Plea": requests for pity and salvation (ll. 187-210).
- 5. "Final Salutation" (ll. 211-25).

2.4.1 Analysis of the Individual Sections: Topoi and Use of Verbal and Nominal Forms

In spite of the damaged state of the manuscript, the text seems to be generally homogeneous from a stylistic and linguistic point of view. Several typical formal features, however, are used in the different sections, helping the reader to recognise each part not only from the content, but also from the form.

The hymnic introduction (ll. 1-44) shows a clear paratactic structure and consists of numerous nominal phrases that are grammatically and semantically complete within each line. As is always the case in hymnic compositions, participles and nominal phrases prevail in the lines where invocations and praises are to be found. The usage of these forms aims to highlight the eternal nature of deities, whose attributes are unchangeable. 33

Of the finite verbal forms occurring in these lines, almost all refer to the god, and are second person singular present tense verbs and imperative verbs:

ll. 18/20 tanaššar; ll. 22/24 tabarri; ll. 26/28 [t]ukān; ll. 37/39 nūḫ; ll. 38/40 riši.

Only one precative form is attested: ll. 38/40 lipšahā.

The present tense verbs in these lines are atemporal.³⁴

The features and powers of Nabû are described in the opening section - particularly his ruthlessness in punishing those who have sinned, as well as his mercy towards those who have been forgiven. These two opposite aspects alternate with one another until 1.45, when the "Penitential Section" begins. In this way, the poet creates an antithetical structure, very similar to the one occurring in the first forty lines of Ludlul bel nemegi and in Marduk1, both of which also show an alternation of opposite behaviours, namely, the contrast between the cruelty of the god and the punishments resulting from his anger on one hand, and the beneficial consequences of his benevolence on the other.³⁵ The syntactic dichotomy that can be observed in the first lines of the Nabû Prayer is used to express the two antithetical aspects of the god (ll. 17-22):

```
17[bēlu ušumaallu(?) uzzlaka nīrka nadru
```

As can be seen from these lines, the poet employs the 'lyrical repetition' (or a-a' structure), in which several couplets are repeated in an almost identical way: the 'delayed' name of the god - inserted only in the second couplet - is the only variation.

The introduction not only has the function of identifying and praising the deity who is being invoked, but also leads to the petitions of the following section. The introduction appearses the god, and disposes him to help the penitent. In the Mesopotamian prayers the epithets and the divine prerogatives which appear in the opening section seem to be the result of a conscious choice. The qualities mentioned are indeed usually related to the specific needs expressed by the petitioner, who can in this way better depict and finally actualise those aspects of the divine nature that might help him the most. By openly illustrating the prerogatives of the god, the supplicant emphasises the powers of addressee, and makes his prayers more effective; also, the god himself

^{18[}tukammar(?) he]galla tanaššar hisba

¹⁹[Nabû ušumgall]u(?) uzzaka nīrka nadru

²⁰[tukammar(?) he]galla tanaššar hisba

¹⁷[O Lord], your [angler is a [lion-dragon], your yoke is furious,

¹⁸[You provide ab]undance, you release the bountiful produce.

¹⁹[O Nabû], your anger is a [lion-drago]n, your yoke is furious,

²⁰[You provide ab]undance, you release the bountiful produce.

³⁴ For the use of present tense as 'extratemporalis' see $GAG \S 78 d$, β .

³⁵ Oshima 2011, 48. For the dual character of deities in Ludlul and in the Great Hymns and Prayers, see chapter 1, § 1.2.5.

is shown - and almost 'reminded of' - his ability to save the sufferer. 36 The second section ("Penitential Section", ll. 45-173) includes the enumeration of the miseries afflicting the supplicant and his pleas for mercy. Despite this part of the prayer being severely damaged, it seems that the description of suffering accords with the standard motifs and phrases used in the Mesopotamian penitential prayers and in some wisdom compositions (e.g. Theodicy, Ludlul).³⁷ The specific nature of the illness is not openly mentioned, and the miseries described in this section seem to indicate a general condition of physical decay and mental distress. The sufferer is said to be afflicted by several feelings of discomfort; depletion and misery (ll. 46/48) imtû tānēhu), sorrow, wailing and bewilderment (l. 80 ašuštu nissatu $[u \, k\bar{u}ru \, (?)]$), and his terrible condition is expressed through the use of similes: he is depicted as being cast into deep water (ll. 49-50), a motif also to be found in the Sumerian tradition. 38 and stuck in the marshes (ll. 51-2, 54/56),39 an expression often taken as a reference to death and the underworld. 40 Metaphors borrowed from the animal kingdom are also typical of Mesopotamian prayers, like the one in 1, 57, by which the moaning of the supplicant is likened to that of a bull being slaughtered (for the similes and metaphors attested in the Great Hymns and Prayers cf. also chapter 5).41

- **36** Mayer 1976, 44-5. Mayer in his division of the Akkadian *šuila* prayers calls this action the 'Vergegenwärtigung'; cf. Oshima 2011, 15. Cf. Hallo 1968, 77. Cf. also the remarks by Lenzi 2010, 309, regarding the invocation in *diĝiršadabba* prayers: he defines the hymnic introduction as "a protocol analogous to the ones that people used when addressing human authorities", in the sense that the petitioner, while invoking the deity, makes use of specific models, which resemble those used in certain human relationships.
- **37** Cf. Beaulieau 2007, 11: "The feelings expressed in the prayers are very much the same as the ones we find in compositions about pious sufferers, that is to say, praise of the deity, sense of guilt, ignorance of the fault committed, feelings of dejection, paranoia, abandonment, bodily ailments and disease, and especially a desperate longing for the deity to relent". On this see also the study on the similarities between the language of *Ludlul* and Akkadian prayers published by Lenzi 2015.
- 38 See for example the bilingual $di\hat{g}ir\tilde{s}adabba$ prayer no. 9, ll. 17-18: $a-gin_7$ ki $al-\hat{g}en-na-gu_{10}$ nu-un-zu | $^{6i\hat{s}}m\acute{a}-gin_7$ kar $ab-\acute{u}s$ $\hat{g}en-na-gu_{10}$ nu-un-zu; Akkadian lines: $k\bar{l}ma$ $m\acute{e}$ $a\tilde{s}ar$ $all\bar{a}ku$ ul ide | $k\bar{l}ma$ eleppi ina kar innemmidu ul ide, "Like water I do not know where I am going | like a boat I do not know at which quay I put in" (for the latest edition see Jaques 2015, 55. The translation used here is that of Lambert 1974, 291. Cf. Van der Toorn 1985, 65 and 191 fn. 152).
- **39** See for example the *diĝiršadabba* prayer no. 11, l. 100: *kīma ārid appari ina rušumdi nadâku*, "Like one who goes down in the marshes, I have fallen in the mud" (for the latest edition see Jaques 2015, 77. Here I use the translation provided by Lambert 1974, 279. Cf. Van der Toorn 1985, 65 and 190 fn. 149).
- 40 Van der Toorn 1985, 65.
- **41** See for example the *diĝiršadabba* prayer no. 11, l. 12: *adammum kīma summat mūši u urra*, "I moan like a dove night and day" (see the latest edition by Jaques 2015, 67. The translation here is by Lambert 1974, 275. Cf. also Van der Toorn 1985, 65 and 190 fn. 142 for more attestations of this motif also in Sumerian literature).

Further in this section, several damaged lines continue to describe physical suffering of the penitent: ll. 114-15 mention lice and an unknown disease called *šurdû*.

As far as is attested from the preserved parts of the text, the verb forms occurring in this second section are mostly finite: third person forms are used in reference to the penitent or to the miseries inflicted on him, especially in descriptions of his illness (ll. 45-58), e.g. l. 46 ittabšû 'have come upon him', but also first person singular verbs occasionally appear as precatives (see below). Numerous pleas for aid and forgiveness are also embedded in this part of the prayer. expressed through the following verbal forms:

Imperative verbs:

1. 53/55 ahuz; ll. 54/56 šutbi šērtuš and šūlīš; l. 97 mēš; l. 98 ukkiš.

Precative verbs:

1. 76 lubbabil; l. 77 lūšer; l. 78 luttaggiš; ll. 117/118 [ludl]ul; l. 123 lukīn; l. 124 luma"irū and lupīra (uncertain).

Typical elements of Akkadian penitential prayers are direct questions to the gods, by which the penitent complains about his suffering and asks for the reasons of his punishment. 42

The questions addressed to the deity are expressed through standard formulas, occurrences of which are found in the second section of the Nabû Prayer, in which a penitential nuance can be noticed.

Knowledge of these standard formulas allows restorations to be made, such as l. 97: a[na minâ i]mkû mēš ara[nšu], "I[n what respect has he been nelgligent? Disregard his guilt!", and l. 116: adi mati kala šatti ginâ lumnu(?) šumšu(?), "How long, a whole year, does any evil last?".

The "Wisdom Section" (ll. 174-85) stands out from the rest of the prayer for its philosophical content, difficult vocabulary and poetic structure. In this section hapax legomena (e.g. l. 178 lallāriš) and rare words (e.g. l. 176 šuršurrū; l. 178 pisnugiš; l. 180 tadmīgša; l. 181 $an(n)\bar{a}t\bar{u}(\check{s}u)$; l. 184 $\check{s}\bar{e}zuzu$ and $tayy[\bar{a}r]$; l. 185 ahammu) appear. Parallels to other Mesopotamian texts are also frequently found (see section below. 2.3).

In addition, the broad use of *parallelismus membrorum* in these lines resembles the structure of the hymnic introduction, and a lyrical tone, similar to the one characterising the opening section, is observed. The similarity in the stylistic features is also emphasised by the use of verbs. In fact, the wisdom section and the hymnic introduction both display an extensive occurrence of atemporal forms. In this respect, statives are most frequently to be noted in the wisdom section:

l. 174 bašim; l. 179 mā[r]; l. 180 damig and nuhā[š] (uncertain); l. 184 eklet: namrat: tavv[ār].

In the same way as in the hymnic opening, the finite verbs are always in the present tense:

l. 175 ušahlâ; l. 178 udaššap; l. 185 ika[rrab]; l. 181 ikušša; l. 186 irrar.

The non-finite verbal forms and the present tense verbs found in the hymnic introduction are to be understood as atemporal, because they describe the divine aspects of Nabû, whose nature cannot change, and can thus only be defined by timeless forms. In the same manner, the verbs of the third section fit its wisdom content: the aim of the poet was to present some philosophical thoughts, rendered by statives and present tense verbs in an atemporal sense.

Mesopotamian hymns and prayers usually end with petitions and, finally, with a salutation to the deity. 43 The last two sections of the Nabû Prayer accord with this standard feature: in the fourth section petitions for health and prosperity unfold (the "Plea" ll. 187-210), while the fifth section consists of the "Final Salutation" to the goddess (ll. 211-25).

The most frequent verbal form appearing in the fourth section is the precative. Third person singular precatives are used to prompt the god to help the sufferer, restoring him to a happy condition, and to show him mercy:

1. 200 [l]īpuš; 1. 201 [l]īpuš; 1. 202 lippatir; 1. 203 [li]mmir; 11. 204/206 littarrisā; l. 208 litūrā, l. 209 likūnā.

Beside precatives, we find the occurrence of imperative forms emphasising the petition:

l. 187 *šu[pte]*; l. 188 *idi* and *suhhira*; ll. 205/207 [r]*ēm* (uncertain) and rišīšu

The fifth and last section (the "Final Salutation") runs from l. 211 to the end of the text. It is characterised by a hymnic tone: in this part the pre-eminent role of Nabû among the other divinities is stressed;

moreover, the petitioner expresses the wish that the whole pantheon of deities, together with the peoples, will glorify the god (on this concept, i.e. the 'public aspect' of praise, see *infra*). Precative forms are used:

1. 211 limmir; ll. 212/214 lištammar; ll. 213/215 lištēpâ; l. 217 likūn;
 1. 218 līhuzū; l. 219 liqbû.

This section has been defined by von Soden as "Dankversprechen", implying that its scope is mainly to thank the invoked deity. ⁴⁴ Indeed, while in the Akkadian language there is no exact word for 'to thank', one can nevertheless interpret this section as an expression of gratitude. The devotee formulates his thanksgiving not only by praising the deity, but also by performing specific symbolic gestures and by providing ritual offerings. ⁴⁵ In this respect, we notice the following couplet in the *Nabû Prayer*:

- ²¹⁶[liq]e damāṣa balāṣa u utnēnšu
- ²¹⁷[kīma qī]šāti(?) atnūš likūn taslissu
- ²¹⁶[Ta]ke the prostrating, the bowing and his prayer,
- ²¹⁷[like *donati*]ons (take) his petition, may his prayer become true.

Praise has a 'public' aspect,⁴⁶ that is to say, it involves not only the individual, but also the whole of humanity, as well the divine world. This feature occurs in the closing section of the prayer: in ll. 213/215 the desire for all the inhabited lands to praise Nabû is formulated ([ana kal] dadmī lištēpâ narbīka, "To all the inhabited regions of the world, may he proclaim your greatness"), and the poet further implores the Igigi gods and the Laḫmu gods to advocate for the penitent:

- ²¹⁸[Igig]i gimrassunu līḥuzū abūssu
- ²¹⁹[La]hmū israssunu(?) liabû dumuašu.
- ²¹⁸May all the [Igigi g]ods take his side
- ²¹⁹May the [Lahmu-golds, their totality, put in a good word for him.

⁴⁴ Von Soden 1957-71, 161, § 4. See also Mayer 1976, 356-7, who further analyses this aspect, maintaining that the praises occurring in the closing section have the function of actualising the glorification of the god. The petitioner promises or wishes to extol the deity in the future, because he is certain that, having diligently fulfilled his religious duty by following the proper worship practice, he will eventually receive the divine help he needs. Thus, he can already praise the deity with confident anticipation of his deliverance. Cf. Westermann 1965, 78.

⁴⁵ Mayer 1976, 308.

⁴⁶ I take this definition from Mayer 1976, 309. Mayer defines it as "Der forensische Charakter des Lobens". He borrows the term 'forensic' ("forensische") from Westermann, who uses it in the sense of 'public' and with respect to Biblical psalms, cf. Westermann 1965, 10 fn. 1a.

The very last lines of the prayer (ll. 220-5) comprise a doxology: the greatness of Nabû is praised one last time, both among the gods and the people:

```
<sup>220/222</sup>[bēlu/Nabû in]a ilī šurbû narbûka

<sup>221/223</sup>[nišū(?) t]anittaka ušarriḥā ana ṣâti

<sup>220/222</sup>[O Lord/Nabû amo]ng the gods your greatness is supreme,

<sup>221/223</sup>[The people] make magnificent your [pra]ise forever.
```

Hence the conclusion of the *Nabû Prayer* illustrates the new condition of the penitent, who has overcome suffering and isolation, and can glorify the deity who restored him to wholeness by proclaiming his power to the gods and to humanity.⁴⁷

The vocabulary that appears in the fifth section exemplifies the standard vocabulary used in Akkadian prayers.⁴⁸ Verbs belonging to the semantic field of 'praising' and frequently found in penitential prayers are employed here as well. In almost all of the attestations, their objects are nouns in the accusative case, with a suffixed pronoun:

- *šamāru* with acc. + suffix: ll. 212/214 *lištammar ilūtka*; also in l. 225 [... *lišta*]*mmar*.
- apû with acc. + suffix (here Št-stem): ll. 213/215 lištēpâ narbīka.
- *qabû* with acc. + suffix: l. 219 *liqbû dumuqšu*.
- rabû with acc. + suffix (Š-stem): ll. 220/222 šurbû narbûka.
- *šarāhu* with acc. + suffix: ll. 221/223 [t]anittaka ušarrihā.

In addition, l. 212 shows a very common construction recurring in Akkadian prayers that consists in connecting a precative and an imperative in order to form a consecutive sentence:

```
<sup>212</sup>[aḫu]z qāssu lištammar ilūtka
<sup>212</sup>[Tak]e his hand, so that he may extol your divinity.<sup>49</sup>
```

The meaning of this formulation lies in two distinct yet intertwined aspects of worship: on the one hand, the praises are a direct consequence of the supplicant's prayers. Indeed, the petitioner knows that he must repay the god for his aid, and therefore promises to praise him in the future. This behaviour, however, should not be considered as a mere *do ut des*: the penitent's commitment to extolling the deity reinforces his prayer, and makes it more valuable.

```
47 Mayer 1976, 309.
```

⁴⁸ Mayer 1976, 319-27

⁴⁹ Cf. Mayer 1976, 312, and fn. 16.

On the other hand, however, not only does the supplicant need divine help to restore his health, but the deity also needs a living and healthy devotee, who can worship him through praise and offerings.⁵⁰

The bijective relationship between divinities and human beings is clearly expressed, for example, in *Marduk*1, ll. 67-9:

- 67Ša tītiš īmû mīnû nēmelšu
- 68 balţum-ma ardu bēlāšu ipallaḥ
- ⁶⁹epru mītu ana ili mīnâ uṣṣab
- ⁶⁷The one who turned into clay, what is his profit?
- 68Only a living servant can revere his master!
- 69 Dead dust, what is its use to the god?51

2.5 The "Wisdom Section": Content and Intertextual Perspectives

In addition to stereotypical motifs, the *Nabû Prayer* also contains some original passages that deal with philosophical themes. Lines 178-86 of the wisdom section seem to develop the idea that there is an appointed time for everything, thus implying that it is wise to wait patiently for a negative beginning to end positively.

The natural world offers a basis for this theory, since often in nature things have a poor beginning, yet eventually prove to be good, making the wait worthwhile. The natural order appears to reflect the divine one, and to explain the human condition: although suffering is necessary, happiness will follow as a natural consequence. This idea suggests that the only possible course of action is to submit and wait patiently. Divine justice should not be questioned, because through patience and faith the pious sufferer will eventually prosper. In these lines a consolation for the inevitable hardship of human life is provided by the expectation of future relief:

- ¹⁷⁸aḫrâtaš pisnuqiš lallāriš udašš[ap]
- ¹⁷⁹alamittu uhenša daddariš m[ār]
- 180 arka tadmīqša damiq per'u nuh[āš(?)]
- ¹⁸¹û ina ligimîšu immarhā an(n)āt[ū(šu)]
- 182 ikušša ina rabėšū-ma bilta u[târ(?)]

⁵⁰ On this aspect see Mayer 1976 (311, with fn. 114) who summarises these two aspects as follows: "a) Das Lobversprechen liegt in der Konsequenz der Bitte: der Betende weiss, dass er der Gottheit etwas schuldet; b) Das Lobversprechen unterstützt die Bitte: es motiviert die Gottheit zum Eingreifen; denn ihr liegt doch daran, geehrt, 'erhoht' zu weren".

⁵¹ Translation by the Author. Cf. Oshima 2011, 147-8; 162-3, and more recently Fadhil, Jiménez 2019, 168 and 170. Cf. also Mayer 1976, 313-14.

```
<sup>178</sup>What has seemed pitiable, he will swe[eten] like syrup,
```

The dates still on the date palm are bitter, but they sweeten after their ripening, and the grain, which can sometimes be affected by diseases and rot in its spikes, requires a long time to fully mature for a successful harvest. The motif of a positive development coming from apparently negative circumstances finds a parallel in the *Theodicy*, ll. 260-3, where the same argument is presented by stating that the first-born is physically inferior to the second one:

```
260 littu būršu rēštû šapil-ma
261 ligimûša arkû maṣi šittīnšu
262 lillû māru pānā i'allad
263 le'û qardu ša šanî nibīssu
260 In the case of a cow, the first calf is lowly,
261 The later offspring is twice as big.
262 A first child is born a weakling,
263 But the second is called a heroic warrior. 52
```

The same concept of a good ending resulting from a difficult beginning is repeated in l. 184 of the *Nabû Prayer*:

```
<sup>184</sup>ašar eklet namrat šēzuzu tayy[ār]
```

¹⁸⁴where it was darkness, there will be light, the ferocious one (will be) merciful.

As night is followed by daylight, similarly the anger of the god is followed by his mercy. The image associating divine pity with morning and the god's fury with night resembles l. 2 of *Ludlul bēl nēmegi*:

```
    <sup>2</sup>ēziz mūši muppaššir urri
    <sup>2</sup>Furious in the night, pacified by day.
```

In the same way we can read in *Marduk*2, l. 81:

¹⁷⁹The fresh date on the date palm is bitter like stinkwort,

^{180 (}but) later its fine date is good, the sprout is lu[xuriant.]

¹⁸¹ The grain in its budding phase: [(its)] ea[rs] may rot,

¹⁸² It lingers in its ripening, (but then) br[ings (abundant)] yield.

⁵² Cited from Lambert 1960, 86-7. Cf. Heinrich 2022.

⁵³ Translation by Foster apud Hätinen 2022; cf. Oshima 2014, 79.

```
<sup>81</sup>urra napšurka šēz[uz]u ušpašš[ih(?)]
```

The god puts the man to the test (l. 187: tasanniq aradka), but rewards his patience, like a father would do to help his obedient son (ll. 184-5). Divine help, like mercy, arrives at daylight (l. 176 šēru rēṣūtīya). 55 It is possible to find the same idea expressed in Marduk2, ll. 18 and 20:

```
    <sup>18</sup>adi ayyūti ṣ[īt Šamši lā i]llaka usātūka
[...]
    <sup>20</sup>Marduk ana ṣīt [Šam]ši illika usātūka
    <sup>18</sup>By which s[un]r[ise] should [not] your help come?
[...]
    <sup>20</sup>Marduk, by sunrise your help came.
```

Similar motifs – i.e. suffering is necessary and patience is worthwhile, the help of the gods comes in the morning – occur also outside the Mesopotamian context, and Old Testament texts in particular offer many passages that are similar to the arguments made in the *Nabû Prayer*.

A comparative approach between Mesopotamian and biblical texts has been used since the first Akkadian texts were found and translated in the latter part of the nineteen century. The many similarities between Mesopotamian hymns and prayers and the biblical psalms even led some scholars to suggest a direct dependence of the latter on the Mesopotamian texts. It is not my intention here to argue that the Mesopotamian sources had a direct influence on the biblical ones, as a direct contact between the two corpora seems difficult to postulate. However, the similar motifs, the formal structure and stock-phrases shared by the Akkadian compositions and the biblical texts constitute interesting parallels between the two literary traditions, in that both were informed by similar ideas and beliefs about the problem of unjust suffering and divine justice.

An example of a biblical passage that resembles the philosophical lines of the *Nabû Prayer* can be found in Ps. 126, in which the concept of suffering preceding happiness is expressed:

⁸¹ In the morning there is your forgiveness, the furious one relen[ts].54

⁵⁴ Translation by the Author and restoration from K.9917+K.17647, see below in the philological commentary, ll. 10/12.

⁵⁵ The association between sunlight and joy is also a recurring theme in the Kiutu prayers, see Baragli 2022a, 117.

⁵⁶ Oshima 2011, 223, 240-1.

⁵⁷ Zernecke 2011a, 61-2 and 2014.

Those who sow with tears will reap with songs of joy. Those who go out weeping, carrying seed to sow, will return with songs of joy, carrying sheaves with them. (Ps 126:5-6)

In addition, the wisdom book of Ecclesiastes shows an even more striking parallel:

The end of a matter is better than its beginning, and patience is better than pride. (Eccles. 7:8-9)⁵⁸

The motif that strictly connects divine help with the morning's light also occurs in the Old Testament. Light is a synonym for closeness to deity and salvation, while darkness is related to abandonment and death.⁵⁹ Examples of this association between morning and divine help are numerous, for example: Ps 5:3-4; 90:14; 143:8; 43:3; 46:6.

⁵⁸ These Scripture quotations are taken from the Holy Bible, New International Version, 2011. For a biblical commentary of the mentioned passages, see Brown et al. 2002, 548 with respect to Ps. 126, and note the observation on v. 5: "The language of planting and growth in these verses suggests a reversal of situation, with tears turned into joy, in language drawn from agriculture"; for some observations on the quoted passage from Ecclesiastes, see Brown et al. 2002, 493 and Christianson 2007, 188.

⁵⁹ Janowski 2013, 67. Cf. Janowski 1989.

2.6 Edition

2.6.1 Text

Content

This prayer is addressed to the scribal god Nabû, and attested in two fragmentary manuscripts (A and B). Manuscript A is a four column tablet written in Neo-Assyrian script; in both manuscripts, the text falls into couplets marked by horizontal rulings, as frequently occurs in Babylonian poetry, especially in manuscripts of the *Great* Hymns and Prayers. 60 Furthermore, the tablet retains the graphic indication of the metrical caesura in the first column, either represented by a blank space left between the hemistichs or by a vertical line drawn in the middle of verses (see ll. 57-8). Manuscript B is a small fragment and preserves very few lines of the prayer (ll. 115-17); it is not possible to define its format.

This 236 line long composition makes use of the so-called 'hymno-epic dialect', a high-register literary language characterised by uncommon grammatical traits, rare words borrowed from lexical lists and hapax legomena. Numerous rhetorical features enrich this prayer, which depicts a supplicant describing his pitiable state and asking the god for forgiveness and aid. The text also contains a wisdom section (ll. 178-86), in which philosophical reflections on suffering and the human condition are found.

Manuscripts

Α	K.2361+K.3193+K.14033+K.18434 +Sm.389+Sm.601(+)	ZA 4, 252-5; ZA 4, 256-8; eBL (Rozzi 2022b)	pls 1-2	Two-column tablet, Neo-Assyrian script, 7th cent.	Nineveh, 'Ashurbanipal's Library', probably South-West Palace (Sm collection; Reade 2000, 422, George 2003, 386)
B_1	K.15248	eBL (Rozzi 2022b)	pl. 3	Neo-Assyrian script, 7th cent.	Nineveh, 'Ashurbanipal's Library'
B_2	K.21022	eBL (Rozzi 2022b)	pl. 3	Neo-Assyrian script, 7th cent.	Nineveh, 'Ashurbanipal's Library'

Previous Editions

Brünnow, R.E. (1889). ZA, 4, 252-8 (transliteration of K.2361+K.3193+Sm.389). von Soden, W. (1971). ZA, 61, 50-60 (transliteration and translation of A without K.18434).

Online edition: Rozzi, G. (2022b). "Great Prayer to Nabû". With Contributions by A.C. Heinrich, A. Hätinen, E. Jiménez and T.D.N. Mitto. Translated by B.R. Foster. electronic Babylonian Library. https://doi.org/10.5282/ ebl/l/3/5.

Transliteration

(8 lines missing)

9 10	A obv. i 1' A obv. i 2' A	[bēlu x x x x x] [x x x x x x x]	sa-bi-[ʾu x x] nap-šur-k[a x x]
11 12	A obv. i 3' A obv. i 4' A	[Nabû x x x x x] [x x x x x x x]	sa-bi-ʿ'u¹ [x x] [n]ap-šur-ka [x x]
13 14	A obv. i 5' A obv. i 6' A	[bēlu x x (x) šu-ud-lu]-pa [x x x x x x x x x (x)]-ú	ki-ma [x x x] sa-ḫir [šērta(?)]
15 16	A obv. i 7' A obv. i 8' A	[Nabû x x (x) šu-u]d-lu-pa [x x x x x x x x (x)]-x-ú	ki-ma [x x x] sa-ḫir ^r šèr²-ti ^{ʔ1}
17 18	A obv. i 9' A obv. i 10' A	[bēlu ušumgallu(?) uz-za]-ka [tukammar(?) ḫé-g]ál-la	nir-ka na-ad-ri ta-na-aš-šar ḫi-iṣ-bu

19 20	A obv. i 11' A obv. i 12' A	[Nabû ú²-šum²-gal²-l]u uz-za-ka [tukammar(?) ḫ]é-gál-la	nir-ka na-ad-ri ta-na-aš-šar ḫi-iṣ-bi
21 22	A obv. i 13' A obv. i 14' A	[Adad(?) šá-gi]m-mu-uk [x x x x x (x) DING]IR.DINGIR	la pa-du-uk gir-ri ta-bar-ri an-šár
23 24	A obv. i 15' A obv. i 16' A	[Nabû Adad(?) šá-gi]m-mu- ^r uk¹ [x x x x x (x) d]INGIR.DINGIR	la pa-du-uk gir-ri ta-bar-ri an-šár
25 26	A obv. i 17' A obv. i 18' A	[šibbu(?) a-ma]-ru-uk [tušteššer(?)] ^r i¹-šá-ri	'ur'-pa-tu ₄ né-'kel'-mu-uk [t]u-ka-ni iš-di-šú
27 28	A obv. i 19' A obv. i 20' A	[Nabû šibbu(?) amāruk [tušteššer(?) išara	ur]- ^r pa-tu ₄ ¹ né-kel-mu-uk tu-k]a-ni iš-di-šú
29 30	A obv. i 21' A obv. i 22' A	[bēlu(?) x x x (x) [x x x x x (x)	e-de-e]d-ka ri-i-bi ša qin-n]u tam-ḫu
31 32	A obv. i 23' A obv. i 24' A	[Nabû x x x (x)] [x x x x x (x)]	e-de-ed-ka ˈriʾ-i-bi šá qin-nu tam-ʿḫuʾ
33 34	A obv. i 25' A obv. i 26' A	[bēlu(?) x x x x [x x x x kīma pāţ(?) qinni(?)	ḫ]u-ḫu-um šá-ma-mi k]a-mir i[š-di-ḫ]u
35 36	A obv. i 27' A obv. i 28' A	[Nabû x x] x x [x x x x k]i- ^r ma ¹ paṭ qin-ni	'ḥu¹-ḥu-um šá-ma-mi 'ka¹-mir iš-di-ḫu

37 38	A obv. i 29' A obv. i 30' A	[bēlu r]eš-ti-i nu-uḫ [bu²-n]u-ka lip-šá-「ḫa¹ 	a-na sur-ri ^r ri¹-ši ti-ra-a-ni
39 40	A obv. i 31' A obv. i 32' A	[dA]G reš-ti-i nu-uḫ [bu²-nu]-ka lip-šá-ḫa —	a-na sur-ri ri-ši ti-ra-a-ni
41 42	A obv. i 33' A obv. i 34' A	b[e-l]u ₄ pal-ku-ú rap- ^r šá¹ uz-ni 	rmuk¹-kal-li e-še-eš-tu₄ ra¹-ši-ši šu-ka-a-mu
43 44	A obv. i 35' A obv. i 36' A	^d AG ^r pal¹-ku-ú rap-šá uz-ni 	muk-kal-li e-še-eš-tu ₄ a-ši-ši šu-ka-a-mu
45 46	A obv. i 37' A obv. i 38' A	be-lu ₄ šal-ba-ba ta-as-sa- ^r bu¹-u șe-riš it-tab-šu-ú 	s UGU ìR-ka 「im¹-ţu-ú ta-né-ḫu
47 48	A obv. i 39' A obv. i 40' A	^d AG šal-ba-ba ta-as-sa-bu-us șe-riš it-tab-šu-ú 	ugu 'ìr'-k[a] im-ţu-ú t[a-né-ḫu]
49 50	A obv. i 41' A obv. i 42' A	ina gi-piš e-de-e na-di-ma kib-ri ru-uq-šú _	a-gu-ú e-liš it-ta[k-kip] né-si-iš na-ba-l[u]
51 52	A obv. i 43' A obv. i 44' A	ib-li ina qé-e šib-qí ^r it ¹ -ta-til ina na-ri-iṭ-ṭu —	a-na pa-ra-a'-a le-e-m[u] ka-li ina ru-šum-du
53 54	A obv. i 45' A obv. i 46' A	'a'-ḫu-uz ŠU ^{II} -su 'šu'-ut-bi šèr-tuš 	la im-me-es-su ìR-k[a] šu-liš ina na-ri-iţ-ţ[u]

55 56	A obv. i 47' A obv. i 48' A	^{rd1} AG a-ḫu-uz ŠU ^{II} -su 'šu¹-ut-bi šèr-tuš 	la im-me-es-su ìR-k[a] šu-liš ina na-ri-i[ţ-ţu]
57 58	A obv. i 49' A obv. i 50' A		ı pal-qu i- ^r ram-mu ¹ -um ši-i[g-miš] ru na-di-ma ú-gan-na-a[n² x x x]
(end	of A obv. i; first 10	6 lines of obv. ii missing)	
75 76	A obv. ii 1' A obv. ii 2' A	ki 'tu'¹ x x [x x x x x x x x] x na-si-ka-ku lu-ub-ba-bil '	
77 78	A obv. ii 3' A obv. ii 4' A	ki-i na-ak-mi šu-şu-ú lu-š ki-i tal-tal-ti lut-tag-giš i-	
79 80	A obv. ii 5' A obv. ii 6' A	an-nam mi-na-a a-mu-ú i- id-da-a a-šu-uš-tu ₄ ni-is-s	
81 82	A obv. ii 7' A obv. ii 8' A	be-lu ₄ at-ta-ma tam-bi [šı qí-bi-tuk-ka šà-sur-ra 'ú'- –	
83 84	A obv. ii 9' A obv. ii 10' A	^d AG at-ta-ma tam-bi [šum qí-bi-tuk-ka šà-sur-ra [x x	
85 86	A obv. ii 11' A obv. ii 12' A	i-na an-nam a-bi ˈiʔ¹-[naˀ o an-nam AD.MEŠ-šú a[n²-na	
87 88	A obv. ii 13' A obv. ii 14' A	'ul' i-'na'(-)x [x x x x x x x x i i-šá-bi i-'ta'-x [x x x x x x	•

89 90	A obv. ii 15' A obv. ii 16' A	i-la a-bi- ^r i¹ ka-a-ti mar-și iš- ^r tam-mar¹ gi-na-a mas- ^r da¹-ri iš-ta-ra-niš i- ^r qal¹
91 92	A obv. ii 17' A obv. ii 18' A	ti-le-é- ^r a¹-um ^r be-lu₄¹ in-ni-ti pa- ^r ṭar²¹ ti-mé-e-šú ^r gíl¹-la-t[i lā] ^r ṭa¹-ab-tú ^r ti²-gi²¹
93 94	A obv. ii 19' A obv. ii 20' A	ti-'le-é-a'-u[m Nabû in-n]i-ti pa-ţ[ar²] ti-m[é-e-šú gillatī lā ṭa-a]b-tú 'ti²'-[gi²]
95 96	A obv. ii 21' A obv. ii 22' A	i-na x [x x x x x x x x x k]u pa-x-[x] i-na [x x x x x x x(-)r]i-bi ma- ^r na-a-ma ²¹ [x (x)]
97 98	A obv. ii 23' A obv. ii 24' A	^r a¹-n[a mīnâ i]m-ku-ú me-e-šú a- ^r ra¹-[an-šú] [mimma(?) mala(?)] ^r i¹-še-ṭu ₄ uk-kiš ḫi- ^r ip ^{?¹} Š[A²-šú²]
99 100	A obv. ii 25' A obv. ii 26' A	[ela k]a-a-ti be-lu ₄ ul ib-ba-áš- ^r ši¹ [rēmu(?)] [ina b]a-lu- ^r uk¹ ^r in¹-ni-ti gíl-la- ^r ti¹ [ul(?) ippaţţar(?)]
101 102	A obv. ii 27' A obv. ii 28' A	[ela k]a-a-ti dAG ul ib-ba- ^r áš'-[ši rēmu(?)] [ina ba-l]u-uk in-ni-ti gíl-la-t[i ul(?) ippaṭṭar(?)]
103 104	A obv. ii 29' A obv. ii 30' A	[tu²-še²]-ṣa²-ma ìR-ka ta-as-sa-rkip¹ [x x x (x)] [ina r]it²-ti-ka ta-at-ta-di 'du¹-u[š²-ma²-ka²]
105 106	A obv. ii 31' A obv. ii 32' A	[a²-šam²]-ſšá¹-niš ḫal-lu-la-a-a DINGIR lem-ni ſta¹-x [x x x x] [x x-tu]l² la-ſga¹-mi du-tuš ta-bar-ſri¹ x [x x]

107 108	A obv. ii 33' A obv. ii 34' A	[x x x]- ^r liš [?] ¹ uš-ḥa-ri-ir i-laq- ^r qé¹ x [x x x] [x x x] ^r i¹-ta-šar ig- ^r da-mar¹ [x x x x]
109 110	A obv. ii 35' A obv. ii 36' A	[x x] x-šú ir-ra-qu kab- ^r ta²-ta²¹ [x x x] [ṭēnšu(?) it²-t]a-kir-šú iḫ-ši- ^t iḫ²¹ [x x x x]
111 112	A obv. ii 37' A obv. ii 38' A	[x x x] x ig-ga-mir x x [x x] [x x] x 'ip'-pa-țir x x [x x x x]
(lower	redge)	
113 114	A rev. iii 1 A rev. iii 2 A	ši-in-šú i[p²-x x x] x x pa-ri-'im¹ a-a-'ba²¹ (x) mut-qu p[u-u]l-ḥu-šú ik-ta-'bit¹ 'UGU-nu-šú¹
115 116	A rev. iii 3 B1 A rev. iii 4 B2 A, B ₁	i-da-at l[um-n]u šúr-du-ú ú-šab-ra-ár-'šú?¹ 'pu¹-t[u²] i-da-at lum-nu šú[r-du-ú x x x x x x] 'a¹-di ma-t[i k]a²-la šat-ti gi-na-a lu-'mun²¹ 'šum-šú²¹ a-di ma-ti k[a-la x x x x x x x x x x]
117 118	A rev. iii 5 B ₁ 3' A rev. iii 6 B ₁ 3' A	$ [lud]^{\text{-}r}lul^{\text{-}} be\text{-}l[u_4] \ na\text{-}al\text{-}ba\text{-}bu\text{-}uk \ e\text{-}^{\text{-}}ze\text{-}zu^{\text{-}} \\ lud\text{-}lul \ ^{\text{-}}be\text{-}lu_4^{\text{-}} \ n[a\text{-}al\text{-}ba\text{-}bu\text{-}uk \ x \ x \ x] \\ [li^2\text{-}te^2\text{-}l]i^2 \ r[a\text{-}\check{s}u]b\text{-}ba\text{-}tuk \ a\text{-}na \ AN\text{-}e \ ah\text{-}^{\text{-}}ra^{\text{-}}\text{-}t[a\check{s}] \\ [li^2]^{\text{-}}te^{2^{\text{-}}}\text{-}[li^2 \ x \ x \ x \ x \ x \ x \ x \ x \ x \ $
119 120	A rev. iii 7 $B_2 1'$ A rev. iii 8 $B_2 2'$ A, B_2	[ludlul ^a A]G na-al-ba-bu-uk ^e l-ze-z[u] [x x x x x x x x x e]- ^z el-z[u] [līteli(?) ra-š]ub-ba-tuk ^a -na AN-e aḥ- ^a -[taš] [x x x x x x x x x]
121	A rev. iii 9 B_2 3' A rev. iii 10	[bīt(?) ki²-im²-t]i-ia e-pir za-ka-a e-pir is-qu-q[u] [x x x x x x x x x x] ^r e¹-pir is-qu- ^r qu¹ [(x) A.ŠÀ.G]A ab-bé-e-a ki-šub-bu-šá bi-lat kàs-p[u]

	B ₂ 4' A, B ₂	[(x) x x x x x x x x x x x bi]-'lat' kàs-[pi]
123 124	A rev. iii 11 B_2 5' A rev. iii 12 B_2 6' A, B_2	[x x x] ku-nu-uk-ka sa-an-tak ki-na-a-ti ša mu šú 'lu-kin' 'fi'-x (x) [x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x] 'lu-kin' 'fi'-[x] [x x x] lu-ma-a'-i-ru lu-pi-ra da-a-a-n[u'] [x x x x x x x x x x x da]-'a'-a-[nu]
125 126	A rev. iii 13 A rev. iii 14 A	[x x] x-ni ḫu-up-šú za-ma-ni ú-šam-q[at²] [x x] x-ni ul i-né-e'-i i-na-as-sa-ḫu 「šaḫ-šaḫ¹-[(ḫi)]
127 128	A rev. iii 15 A rev. iii 16 A	[x x]- ^r ram²-ma²¹ ki-i la lìb-bi DINGIR im-nu- ^r ú¹ [x x x x-s]u bit-re-e ú-nam-ga-ru kar-ş[i²]
129 130	A rev. iii 17 A rev. iii 18 A	[x x x i]š²-pik-ke-e-a šá-ru-ti ţa- ^r pul²-ti¹ [x x x x x-p]il² im ḫu uz °\šá° šá-x x x
131 132	A rev. iii 19 A rev. iii 20 A	[x x x x x](-) ^r a¹-šá šu-bat nu-uḫ-ſši¹ [x x x x x x] x ḫu ṣi-in-ſdu¹
133 134	A rev. iii 21 A rev. iii 22 A	$ [x \times x \times x] \times {}^{r}KI^{1}.2.KAM^{v} \check{s}u-bat \ la-l[e^{\gamma}-e^{\gamma}] $ $ [x \times x \times x \times x \times x \times x \times (x)]^{-r}\acute{u}^{1} \times [x] $
(man	uscript A breaks	off, 4 lines of rev. iii are missing)
138 139 140	A rev. iii 26 A rev. iii 27 A rev. iii 28 A	x [x x x x x x x x x x x x (x x)] li-x [x x x x x x x x x x (x x)] kin-gal-lu t[i²-x x x x x x x x x]

_		
141 142	A rev. iii 29 A rev. iii 30 A	uṣ-ṣi-iṣ-ma AN.ZA.[GÀR X X X X X X (X X)] ul X [] ^d am-na ina bi-r[i x x x x x x (x x)]
143 144	A rev. iii 31 A rev. iii 32 A	za-qí-qu ina šat 'mu'-š[i x x x x x x (x)] zu-un-zu-na [x x x x x x x x (x x)]
145 146	A rev. iii 33 A rev. iii 34 A	^d nin-gùn-nu a-x(-)[x x x x x x (x x)] ^r a¹-na im-mu u mu-š[ú x x x x x x x x)]
147 148	A rev. iii 35 A rev. iii 36 A	a-na ka-ša-du me-x [x x x x x x x (x x)] i-na bu-ul dŠA[KAN x x x x x x x (x x)]
149 150	A rev. iii 37 A rev. iii 38 A	du-uš-mu-ú-šú ˈiʰ-[x x x x x x x (x x)] ab-du-ú-šú ip-pa[l x x x x x x x x x x)]
151 152	A rev. iii 39 A rev. iii 40 A	iḫ-ti-dam-m[a marṣatuš(?) ibakkīka(?)] na-an-gul lìb- ^r ba¹-[šú-ma iḫtammaṭka(?)] —
153 154	A rev. iii 41 A rev. iii 42 A	uṣ-ṣar-ri-ip ka-[bat²-ta²-šú² x x x x x x (x)] di-ma-šú ik-ta-[li² x x x x x x x x x (x)]
155 156	A rev. iii 43 A rev. iii 44 A	ma-și be-lí x [x x x x x x x x x (x)] a-ḫu-lap¹(KID) ti-me[š x x x x x x x x (x)]
157 158	A rev. iii 45 A rev. iii 46 A	tu-šá-ma za-ma-nu- ^r ú¹ [x x x x x x (x)] ù mu-de-e al-k[a-ka-ti x x x x x x (x)]

A rev. iii 47 A rev. iii 48 A	a-bar-šá É.Z[I.DA x x x x x x x x (x)] rag-ga la 'i¹-[šá-ra² x x x x x x x (x)]
A rev. iii 49 A rev. iii 50 A	el im-[(x)] x [x x x x x x x x (x)] min-su x [(x)] x (x) [x x x x x x x x x (x)]
A rev. iii 51 A rev. iii 52 A	šá rag-gi u x [x x x x x x x x x x x x] [š]u²-ut lum-n[u x x x x x x x x x x]
A rev. iii 53	[x x] x [x x x x x x x x x (x)]
K.11373, that, ho	edition, the lower part of column iii is represented by the fragment owever, is a manuscript of a divinatory text and duplicates K.6226. nn iii is thus broken, and has approximately 7 lines missing.)
A rev. iv 1 A rev. iv 2 A	pu-ṭur qu-un-nab-ra-šu ḫi-pi il-lu-u[r-taš²] a-na da-na-na i-ri-a-ti ba-šim du-'ú¹-r[u]
A rev. iv 3 A rev. iv 4a A rev. iv 4b-5 A	a-na kal ma-tu ₄ um-ma- ^r tu ₄ ¹ ú-šaḫ- ^{la} lá-a ma-ni-t[u ₄] še-e-ru re-ṣu-ti-ia šur-šú-ru ḫi-in-zur-ru meṣ-ḫe-ri ši-d[i-tú²] mar-tú ma-r[i]
A rev. iv 6 A rev. iv 7 A rev. iv 8 A	aḫ-ra-taš pi-is-nu-qiš làl-la-riš ú-da-áš-š[ap] a-la-mit-tu₄ ú-ḫe-en-šá da-da-riš ma-a-[ar] ar-ka ta-ad-mì-iq-šá da-mì-iq pe-er-'u nu-ḫ[ášʾ]
A rev. iv 9 A rev. iv 10 A	ŠE-am i-na li-gi-mì-šú i-ma-ar-ḥa an-na-t[u(-šú)] i-kuš-šu i-na ra-bé-šú-ma bil-ti 'ú'-[tar²]
A rev. iv 11	[m]u-ú-ṣu šá lìb-bi ú-ru-la-ti-šú ik-kib DINGIR.MEŠ ka-la-ma ana UN.MEŠ x [x]
A rev. iv 12 A	a-šar ek-let nam-rat še-zu-zu ta-a- ^r a¹-[ar]
	A rev. iii 48 A A rev. iii 49 A rev. iii 50 A A rev. iii 51 A rev. iii 52 A A rev. iii 53 (In von Soden's e K.11373, that, he The end of colur A rev. iv 1 A rev. iv 2 A A rev. iv 4a A rev. iv 4b-5 A A rev. iv 4b-5 A A rev. iv 7 A rev. iv 7 A rev. iv 8 A A rev. iv 10 A A rev. iv 11

185 186	A rev. iv 13 A rev. iv 14 A	ma-ru áš-ru sa-an-qa a-ḫa-mu za-ra-šú i-ka[r-rab] ma-ru la áš-ru la DIM ₄ a-di e-né-šú ir-ra-ár b[a²-ni²-šú²] —
187 188	A rev. iv 15 A rev. iv 16 A	ta-sa-niq ìR-ka nap-pa-šu šu-u[p²-te²] i-di let-ka suḫ-ḫi-ra ki-š[ad-ka]
189 190	A rev. iv 17 A rev. iv 18 A	^d AG ta-sa-niq ìR-ka nap-pa-šu šu-[up [?] -te [?]] i-di let-ka suḫ-ḫi-ra ki-š[ad-ka]
191 192	A rev. iv 19 A rev. iv 20	ul-li e-né-es-su 'la-mas'-su l[i-ir-ši] ' $q(i)$ -bi-tuk-ka SIG_5 .MEŠ x [x] x x [x]
(man		off, 7 lines of rev. iv are missing)
	A	
200 201	A rev. iv 28 A rev. iv 29 A	[x x x x x l]i-pu-uš ga-nu-un- ^r šú¹ [x x x x x l]i-pu-uš si-ma-ak-šú
202 203	A rev. iv 30 A rev. iv 31 A	[x x x x šu²-u]s²-si líp-pa-ṭir zu-mur-šú [x x x x x li]m-mir ni-ṭil-šin
204 205	A rev. iv 32 A rev. iv 33 A	[būnūka namrūtu li]t-tar-ri-șu e-li-šú [rēm(?) aradka na]k-ru-uț ri-ši-šú ti-ra-nu
206 207	A rev. iv 34 A rev. iv 35 A	[Nabû] 'bu-nu-ka' ZÁLAG.MEŠ lit-tar-ri-șu e-li-šú [re]- ^r e'-mì ìR-ka nak-ru-uț ri-ši-šú ti-ra-nu
208 209	A rev. iv 36 A rev. iv 37 A	[ḫalˀ-qaˀ]-a-tu₄ A.ŠÀ.MEŠ li-tu-ra a-nu-ʿmíšʾ [x x x]-a-ti GIŠ.ŠUB.BA.MEŠ li-ku-na a-na šá-a-šu

210 211	A rev. iv 38 A rev. iv 39 A	[ina(?) š]i-in-gi ù șu-șe-e liš-te-šer at-man-šu [ina kibrāt e]r-bé-e lim-mir nu-par-šu
212 213	A rev. iv 40 A rev. iv 41 A	[a-ḫu-u]z šu-su liš-tam-mar DINGIR-ut-ka [ana kal] 'da¹-ad-mu liš-te-pá-a nar-bi-ka
214 215	A rev. iv 42 A rev. iv 43 A	[Nabû] ^r a¹-ḫu-uz šU-su liš-tam-mar DINGIR-ut-ka [ana kal d]a-ad-mu liš-te-pá-a nar-bi-ka
216 217	A rev. iv 44 A rev. iv 45 A	[li-q]é da-ma-şu ba-la-şu ù ut-nen-šú [kīma(?) qi²]-šá-a-ti at-nu-uš li-kun tés-lit-su
218 219	A rev. iv 46 A rev. iv 47 A	[ªi-g]i-gu gim-rat-su-nu li-ḫu-zu a-bu-us-su [ªla]ḫ-mu iṣ-rat-su-nu liq-bu-ú SIG ₅ -šú
220 221	A rev. iv 48 A rev. iv 49 A	[bēlu i-n]a² DINGIR.MEŠ šur-bu-ú nar-bu-ka [nišū² t]a-nit-ta-ka ú-šar-ri-ḫa ana ṣa-a-ti
222 223	A rev. iv 50 A rev. iv 51 A	[Nabû ina DINGIR.M]EŠ šur-bu-ú nar-bu-ka [nišūʾ ta-ni]t-ta-ka ú-šar-ri-ḫa ana ṣa-a-ti
224 225	A rev. iv 52 A rev. iv 53	$[x \times x \times x \times x \times x] \times x$ $[ii]^{2}$ - lip - ka $[x \times x \times x \times x \times x \times x \times x]$ $[ii]^{2}$ - ta] m - t mar

(manuscript A breaks off; no colophon preserved)

Bound Transcription (8 lines missing) 9[bēlu 1 sābi['u 1 10 1 napšurk[a 1 11[Nabû] sābi'u [] 12] napšurka [1 13[bēlu šudlu]pa kīma [] 14 1... saḥir [šerta(?)] 15[Nabû ... šu]dlupa kīma [1 16] ... sahir šerta(?) ¹⁷[bēlu ušumgallu(?) uzza]ka nīrka nadru ¹⁸[tukammar(?) he]galla tanaššar hisba 19[Nabû ušumgall]u(?) uzzaka nīrka nadru ²⁰[tukammar(?) he]galla tanaššar hisba lā padûk girru ²¹[Adad(?) šagi]mmuk 22 il]ī tabarri Anšar ²³[Nabû Adad(?) šagi]mmuk lā padûk girru 24 tabarri Anšar i]lī ... ²⁵[šibbu(?) amā]ruk [u]rpatu nekelmûk ²⁶[tušteššer(?)] išara [t]ukān išdīšu ²⁷[Nabû šibbu(?) amāruk ur]patu nekelmûk ²⁸[tušteššer(?) išara tuk]ān išdīšu ²⁹[bēlu(?) edē]dka rību 30 ša qinn]a tamhu

31[Nabû] 32[]	edēdka rību ša qinna tamḫu
³³[bēlu(?)	ḫ]uḫum(?) šamāmī
³⁴[kīma pāṭ(?) qinni(?)	k]āmir iš[diḫ]i
³⁵ [Nabû]	ḫuḫum(?) šamāmī
³⁶ [k]īma pāṭ(?) qinni(?)	kāmir išdiḫi
³¹[bēlu r]ēštû nūḫ	ana surri
³å[būn]ūka(?) lipšaḫā	riši tīrānī
³º[Na]bû rēštû nūḫ	ana surri
⁴º[būnū]ka(?) lipšaḫā	riši tīrānī
⁴¹ b[ēl]u palkû	mukkalli ešešti
⁴² rapša uznī	āšiš šukāmi
⁴³ Nabû palkû	mukkalli ešešti
⁴⁴ rapša uznī	āšiš šukāmi
⁴⁵ bēlu šalbābu tassabbus	eli ardīka
⁴⁶ ṣēriš ittabšû	imțû tānēḫu
⁴⁷ Nabû šalbābu tassabbus	eli ardīk[a]
⁴⁸ ṣēriš ittabšû	imțû t[ānēḫu]
⁴⁹ ina gipiš edê nadī-ma	agû elīš itta[kkip]
⁵⁰ kibru rūqšu	nesīš nābal[u]
⁵¹ ibli ina qê šibqī	ana parā'i lēmu
⁵² ittatīl ina nāriţţi	kali ina rušumti

⁵³ aḫuz qāssu ⁵⁴ šutbi šērtuš	lā immês aradk[a] šūlīš ina nariţţ[i]	
⁵⁵ Nabû aḫuz qāssu ⁵⁶ [š]utbi šērtuš	lā immês aradk[a] šūlīš ina nari[ṭṭi]	
⁵⁷ [k]īma lê ša ina naplāqi palqu ⁵⁸ [in]a pī lē'î dabri nadī-ma	irammum ši[gmiš] uganna[n]	
(end of A obv. i; ll. 59-75 lost or too frag	gmentary for transcription)	
⁷⁶ nasīkāku lubbabil	lu[ppațir(?)]	
™kī nakmu šūşû ™kī taltalti	lūšer a[ḫīta(?)] luttaggiš ina ka[mâti]	
⁷⁹ anna mīnâ amû ⁸⁰ iddâ ašuštu	izziza [elīya(?)] nissatu [u kūru(?)]	
⁸¹ bēlu attā-ma ⁸² qibītukka šassūru	tabbi [šumī(?)] []	
83Nabû attā-ma 84qibītukka šassūru	tabbi [šumī(?)] []	
⁸⁵ ina anna abi ⁸⁶ anna abbēšu	i[na anna(?) ummi(?)] a[nna(?) ummātīšu(?)]	
⁸⁷ ul [⁸⁸ išâb []	
⁸⁹ ila abī kâti ⁹⁰ ginâ masdara	marşu(?) ištammar ištarāniš iqâl	

⁹¹ tele'û bēlu	ennētī paṭāra(?)		
⁹² temēšu gillat[ī	lā] ṭābta tīgi(?)		
⁹³ tele'û [Nabû	enn]ētī pa[ṭāra(?)]		
⁹⁴ tem[ēšu gillatī	lā ṭā]bta tī[gi(?)]		
⁹⁵ ina [] []		
⁹⁶ ina [] manāma(?) []		
⁹⁷ a[na mīnâ i]mkû	mēš ara[nšu]		
⁹⁸ [mimma(?) mala(?)] išēţu	ukkiš ḫīp(?) li[bbīšu(?)]		
⁹⁹ [ela k]âti bēlu	ul ibbašši [rēmu(?)]		
¹⁰⁰ [ina b]aluk ennētī	gillatī [ul(?) ippaţţar(?)]		
¹⁰¹ [ela k]âti Nabû	ul ibbaš[ši rēmu(?)]		
¹⁰² [ina bal]uk ennētī	gillat[ī ul(?) ippaţţar(?)]		
¹⁰³ [tušē]ṣâm-ma aradka	tassakip []		
¹⁰⁴ [ina r]ittīka(?)	tattadi du[šmâka]		
¹⁰⁵ [ašam]šāniš ḫallulāya	ila lemna []		
¹⁰⁶ [] lagā'a(?) dūtuš	tabarri []		
¹⁰⁷ [] ušḫarrir	ileqqe []		
¹⁰⁸ [] ītašar	igdamar []		
¹⁰⁹ [] irraqi(?)	kabtata(?) []		
¹¹⁰ [ṭēnšu(?) itt]akiršu	iḫšiḫ []		
1125 1 ' '' 5	1 1		

¹¹³ šinšu(?) [] ¹¹⁴ mutqu p[u]lḫūšu			pārim ayyābi(?) iktabit elēnuššu
		nni šurdû i kala šatti	ušabraršu(?) pūt[a²] ginâ lumnu(?) šumšu(?)
	dlul b]te[l]i	ēla (?) r[ašu]bbatuk	nalbābuk ezēzu ana šamê aḫrât[aš]
-	ıdlul 1 teli(?)	Na]bû raš]ubbatuk	nalbābuk ezēz[u] ana šamê aḫrâta[š]
		imt]īya(?) epir zakâ e]l(?) abbēya	epir isqūqa kišubbûša bilat kasp[i]
123 124] kunukka santak kind] luma''ir	āti ša lukīn lūpira dayyān[a]
125 [] ḫupša] ul ine"i	zāmânī ušamq[at(?)] inassaḫ(?) šaḫšaḫḫ[ī]
127 128		 1] kī lā libbi ili imnû] bitrê unamgarū karṣ[ī]
129 130			i]špikkīya šarûti ṭapultu(?)] ša
131[132[] šubat nuḫši] șindu
133 134] šanû šubat lā[lê(?)]] []

(Il. 135-9 lost or too fragmentary for transcription)

¹⁴⁰ kingallu [1	
¹⁴¹ uşşiş-ma Anza[gar(?)] ¹⁴² Amna ina bīr[i	ul []	
¹⁴³ Zāqīqu ina šāt mūš[i ¹⁴⁴ zunzunu []]	
¹⁴⁵ Ningunnu [¹⁴⁶ ana immi u mūši []]	
¹⁴⁷ ana kašādi [¹⁴⁸ ina būl Šak[kan]]	
¹⁴⁹ dušmûšu [¹⁵⁰ abdūšu ippa[l]]	
¹⁵¹ iḫtidam-m[a marṣatuš ibak ¹⁵² nangul libba[šū-ma iḫtamm			
¹⁵³ uşşarrip ka[battašu(?) ¹⁵⁴ dimmašu ikta[li(?)]]	
¹⁵⁵ mași bēlī [¹⁵⁶ aḫulap temē[š]	
¹⁵⁷ tušāma zāmânû [¹⁵⁸ u mūdî alk[akāti]]	
¹⁵⁹ abarša Ez[ida ¹⁶⁰ ragga lā i[šara]	
¹⁶¹ el [¹⁶² minsu [] []	

		2 · mc orcaci no	iyer to maba
¹⁶³ ša raggi u [¹⁶⁴ [š]ūt(?) lumn[a(?)]]	
(manuscript A breaks off, approxim	ately 7 lines missin	g)	
¹⁷³ puṭur qunnabrašu ¹⁷⁴ ana danān iriyāti	ḫipi illu[rta bašim dūr[ı		
¹⁷⁵ ana kal māti ummāti ušaḫlâ n ¹⁷⁶ šēru rēṣūtīya šuršurrū ḫinzūn ¹⁷⁷ meṣḫeru šīd[ītu(?)] mārtu m	ri		
¹⁷⁸ aḥrâtaš pisnuqiš ¹⁷⁹ alamittu uḥenša ¹⁸⁰ arka tadmīqša damiq	lallāriš uda daddariš m per'u nuḫ[ā	$ar{a}[r]$	
¹⁸¹ û ina ligimîšu ¹⁸² ikušša ina rabêšū-ma	immarḫā aī bilta u[tār(ˈ	n(n)āt[ū(šu)] P)]	
¹⁸³ [m]ūṣu ša libbi urullātīšu ¹⁸⁴ ašar eklet namrat	ikkib ilī kal šēzuzu tayy	āma ana nišī [. v[ār]]
¹⁸⁵ māru ašru sanqu ¹⁸⁶ māru lā ašru lā sanqu		râšu ika[rrab] rrar b[ānīšu(?)]	
¹⁸⁷ tasanniq aradka ¹⁸⁸ idi lētka	nappaša šu suḫḫira kiš		
¹⁸⁹ Nabû tasanniq aradka ¹⁹⁰ idi lētka	nappaša šu suḫḫira kiš		
¹⁹¹ ulli ennessu ¹⁹² qibītukka damqātu	lamassa li[ı [] [
(Il. 193-9 missing)			

200[l]īpuš ganūnšu
201[l]īpuš simakšu
²⁰² [šu]ssi(?) ²⁰³ [lippațir zumuršu li]mmir nițilšu
²⁰⁴ [būnūka namrūtu	lit]tarriṣā elīšu
²⁰⁵ [rēm(?) aradka na]kruţa	rišīšu tīrāna
²⁰⁶ [Nabû] būnūka namrūtu	littarrișū elīšu
²⁰⁷ [r]ēm(?) aradka nakruţa	rišīšu tīrāna
²⁰⁸ [ḫalq]ātu(?) eqlētu	litūrā annummiš
²⁰⁹ [] isqētu	likūnā ana šâšu
²¹⁰ [ina(?) š]ingi u şuşê	lištēšer atmanšu
²¹¹ [ina kibrāt er]bê	limmir nupāršu
²¹² [aḫu]z qāssu	lištammar ilūtka
²¹³ [ana kal] dadmī	lištēpâ narbīka
²¹⁴ [Nabû] aḫuz qāssu	lištammar ilūtka
²¹⁵ [ana kal d]admī	lištēpâ narbīka
²¹⁶ [liq]e damāṣa	balāṣa u utnēnšu
²¹⁷ [kīma(?) qī]šāti(?) atnūš	likūn teslīssu
²¹⁸ [Ig]īgū gimrassunu	līḫuzū abbūssu
²¹⁹ [La]ḫmū iṣrassunu(?)	liqbû dumuqšu
²²⁰ [bēlu in]a ilī	šurbû narbûka
²²¹ [nišū(?) t]anittaka	ušarriḫā ana ṣâti

²²² [Nabû ina il]ī ²²³ [nišū(?) tani]ttaka		šurbû narbûka ušarriḫā ana ṣâti	
224 [225 [] lillipka(?) lišta]mmar	

(manuscript A breaks off; no colophon preserved)

Translation

/o !:----

(8 lines missing)				
P[O Lord P[O Lord]	who toss[es] yo[ur] forgiveness []	
¹¹ [O Nabû ¹² []	who tosses [] your forgiveness []	
13[O Lord, who 14[the distr	=	ke a [] ded [by punishment],	
¹⁵ [O Nabû, who	the di]st		ke a [] d by punishment.	
			on], your yoke is furious, release the bountiful produce.	
¹⁹ [O Nabû], your anger is a [<i>lion-drago</i>]n, your yoke is furious, ²⁰ [You <i>provide</i> ab]undance, you release the bountiful produce.				
²¹ [O Lord, your <i>ro]ar</i> [is <i>Adad</i>], your ruthlessness is fire, ²² [the g]ods, you behold Anšar.				
²³ [O Nabû, your <i>ro]ar</i> [is <i>Adad</i>], your ruthlessness is fire, ²⁴ [the g]ods, you behold Anšar.				
²⁵ [O Lord], your [²⁶ [You <i>make</i>] the			your frown a [dar]k cloud, [yo]u strengthen his foundations.	
²⁷ [O Nabû, your g ²⁸ [You <i>make</i> the j		pent],	your frown a [dark clo]ud, you str]engthen his foundations.	

²⁹ [O Lord,],],	your [char]ging is an earthquake, who holds the family.	
³¹ [O Nabû,],],	your charging is an earthquake, who holds the family.	
³³ [O Lord, ³⁴ [as the fa	 imily circle] , who sup]plie	of the heavens, s the profit	
³⁵ [O Nabû, ³⁶ [] as the f	 amily circle		of the heavens, s the profit.	
³⁷ O supreme L ³⁸ May your [fac	_	ppeased	in an instant! have mercy.	
³⁹ O supreme [Na]bû, be appeased ⁴⁰ May your [face] relent,			in an instant! have mercy.	
⁴¹ O wise L[or]d, ⁴² Of vast intelligence,			mukkallu-priest of knowledge, who masters the scribal art.	
⁴³ O wise Nabû, ⁴⁴ Of vast intelligence,			mukkallu-priest of knowledge, who masters the scribal art.	
⁴⁵ O raging Lord, you have become angry ⁴⁶ Depletion and distress			with your servant, have come upon him.	
⁴⁷ O raging Nal ⁴⁸ Depletion an	-	e become ang	ry with yo[ur] servant, have come upon him.	
⁴⁹ He is cast ou and again, ⁵⁰ Far away fro			at the flood cras[hed] over him agair	

$^{51}\mbox{He}$ perishes in a web of deceptions, $^{52}\mbox{He}$ lies in the marsh,	impossible to be cut. he is stuck in the mire.	
53Take his hand, 54Take away his punishment,	may yo[ur] servant not be destroyed, raise him from the mar[sh]!	
⁵⁵ O Nabû, take his hand, ⁵⁶ [Ta]ke away his punishment,	may yo[ur] servant not be destroyed, raise him from the ma[rsh]!	
	a a butchering knife, he roars lo[udly], al force, and it clo[ses tight around him]	
(end of A obv. i; ll. 59-75 lost or too fragmen	tary for translation)	
⁷⁶ I am fallen, let me be carried, <i>le</i>	et me be re[leased].	
⁷⁷ Just as <i>pus</i> is removed, should I go ⁷⁸ Like <i>the pollen of a date palm,</i> s country]?	o ou[tside]? hould I float around in the op[en	
⁷⁹ Yes, why has the one-handed man ⁸⁰ He cast sorrow,	stepped [on me]? wailing [and daze] on me.	
⁸¹ O Lord, it is you, ⁸² At your command, the womb	who called [<i>my name</i>], [].	
⁸³ O Nabû, it is you, ⁸⁴ At your command, the womb	who you called [my name], [].	
85From my father's 'yes' 86The 'yes!' of his forefathers,	fr[om my mother's 'yes'], the ['yes' of his foremothers],	
⁸⁷ Not [⁸⁸ He will sway, he will []]	

⁸⁹ O god, my father, ⁹⁰ Always, without cease,	the sick man extolled you over and over, he attends to the (personal) goddess.	
⁹¹ O Lord, the one who is capable ⁹² O forgiving one, <i>you can pardon</i>	of und[oing] my sin! my [wick]ed crime!	
⁹³ O [Nabû], the one who is capable ⁹⁴ O forg[iving one], you can par[don		
95With [96With [] []] somebody []	
97I[n what respect has he been ne]glig 98[Whatever crime] he has committe		
99[Apart from y]ou, O Lord, 100[With]out you, my sin	there is no [mercy], (and) my punishment[will not be removed].	
101[Apart from y]ou, O Nabû, 102[With]out you, my sin	there i[s] no [mercy], (and) [my] punishment [will not be removed].	
103[You c]ast your servant out, 104[With] your [hand]	you pushed (him) away you throw down [your] sla[ve]!	
los [Like a wh]irlwind, the <i>Hallulāyu-</i> los [] dirt, <i>his virilit</i>	demon, the evil god you [] ty: you observe []	
107[] he was silent, 108[] he mustered,	he takes [] he finished []	
109His [] hid itself 110[His mind has become es]tranged	the insides [] from him, he wanted []	

```
] ... was brought to an end ... [ ... ]
112[ ... ] ... was loosened ... [ ... ]
113 His tooth [ ... ] ...
                                           who slices the enemy.
114The headlice, hsis terrolr.
                                           has become burdensome for him.
115The sign of the [šurdû-dislease
                                           has flickered on (his) face.
116 How long, a whole year.
                                           does any evil last?
117 [Let me prlaise the Lo[rd]:
                                           your anger is fu[ry],
118Your [aweso]meness [ ... ]
                                           to heaven fore[ver].
119 [Let me praise Na]bû:
                                           your anger is fu[ry],
<sup>120</sup>Your aweso[meness ... ]
                                           to heaven fore[ver].
<sup>121</sup>[The house of] my [famil]y is well provided with clear beer, well provided
with fine fllourl.
122[The field] of my fathers: (even) its waste ground is worth one talent of
silver!
123[ ... ] the sealed document ... the associates, continuously ... may I establish ...
<sup>124</sup>[ ... ] may I send,
                                 may I provide for the judge.
<sup>125</sup>[ ... ] ... the rabble,
                                           he overt[hrows] the enemies,
126 ... ] ... he will not turn away.
                                           they will extirpate [my] slanderer.
127[ ... ] ... they recited against the will of the god,
128
        ... ] ... magnificent,
                                           they denounce the cal[umnies].
129
                 1 ... of my wealthy storehouse ...insult.
130
                                                1 ... of ...
                 ] ... a dwelling of abundanc[e],
131
                        ] ... the binding,
132
             ...
```

$^{133}[\ldots]\ldots$ second, a dwelling of $de[light\ldots]$.			
(ll. 134-9 lost or too fragmentary for tra	nslation)		
¹⁴⁰ O Leader of the assembly []		
¹⁴¹ He asked <i>Anza</i> [<i>gar</i> ¹⁴² Amna, through divinat[ion] did not []	
143 The Zaqiqu-spirit during the $ni[g]$	ght]	
¹⁴⁵ Ningunnu [¹⁴⁶ To daylight and night [1 1	
¹⁴⁷ To arrive at [¹⁴⁸ From the herd of Šak[kan		1 1	
¹⁴⁹ His servant [¹⁵⁰ His slave answe[rs		1 1	
151He mu[tters painfully and wails his woes to you], 152With his he[art] throbbing, [he burns for you].			
¹⁵³ [<i>His</i>] <i>ins</i> [<i>ides</i>] have been set abla ¹⁵⁴ He dr[ied] his tears []	
155It is enough, my Lord, [156Have Mercy, o Forg[iver!	 	1 1	
157As if it were the enemy [158And he who knows the wa[ys]	
¹⁵⁹ Certainly Ez[ida ¹⁶⁰ The wicked, the <i>un</i> [<i>just</i>]	

	2 · The oreact tayer to Naba
161On []
¹⁶² Why []
¹⁶³ Of the wicked and []
164Thos who [] evil []
(manuscript A breaks off, approximately 7 li	ines missing)
¹⁷³ Loose his fetters,	break [his] ma[nacle]!
¹⁷⁴ Against the hardship of cold wind,	a w[all] is built,
¹⁷⁵ Upon the whole land	a gentle bree[ze] brings solace,
¹⁷⁶ My morning aid,	the fruits of the apple-tree,
177youth (and) maid[en]	daughter (and) so[n]
¹⁷⁸ With time, what (seemed) pitiable,	he will swee[eten] like syrup,
¹⁷⁹ The early fruit of the date-palm	is bit[ter] like stinkwort,
180(But) later its fine date is good,	the sprout is <i>lux</i> [<i>uriant</i>].
¹⁸¹ The grain in its budding phase:	[(its)] ea[rs] may rot,
¹⁸² It lingers in its ripening,	(but then) b[rings (abundant)] yield.
¹⁸³ The discharge of his foreskin is an a mon] to the people,	abomination to all the gods and [com-
184Where it was dark, it will be bright,	the raging one will be me[rciful],
¹⁸⁵ The obedient, disciplined son:	his father giv[es] (him) a special
blessing,	
$^{\mbox{\tiny 186}\mbox{The}}$ disobedient, undisciplined son: changes his ways.	his b[egetter] curses (him) until he
187You test your servant:	let a window o[pen] for him to breathe!
188 Incline your face,	turn yo[ur head] towards me!

189O Nabû, you test you servant: 190Incline your head, 191Remove his punishment! 192At your command,		let a window o[pen] for him to breathe! turn yo[ur head] towards me! May he ac[quire] health, good [] [].
²⁰⁰ [l]et him build his storeroom, l]et him build his sanctuary,
²⁰² [l]et his body be released, le]t the sight of his (eyes) be clear.
	r radiant face rcy on your servant,	be tu]rned towards him, have com]passion, have pity on him!
	may your radiant face r]cy on you servant,	be turned towards him, have compassion, have pity on him!
²⁰⁸ May (his) ²⁰⁹ May the [[uncultiv]ated fields] fortunes	be restored h[ere], be firmly established for him.
	llages and in the marshes, ur wo[rld regions],	may his sanctuary be in order, may his heart become bright.
²¹² [Tak]e his		so that he may he extol your divinity, orld, may he proclaim your greatness.
	tak]e his hand, inhabited regions of the wor	so that he may extol your divinity, cld, may he proclaim your greatness.
	he prostrating, ti]ons, (take) his petition,	the bowing and his prayer, may his prayer become true.

²¹⁸ May all the [Igigi g]ods	take his side,
²¹⁹ May the [<i>Laḫmu-</i> god]s, <i>their to</i>	otality, put in a good word for him. ⁶¹
²²⁰ [O Lord amo]ng the gods	your greatness is supreme,
²²¹ [<i>The people</i>] <i>make</i> (lit. have m	ade) magnificent your [pra]ise forever.
²²² [O Nabû among the go]ds ²²³ [<i>The people</i>] <i>make</i> (lit. have m	your greatness is supreme, ade) magnificent your [prais]e forever.
224[] may he make prosper for you, may he glor]ify over ad over.

(Manuscript A breaks off; no colophon preserved)

⁶¹ Cf. The translation by Foster apud Rozzi 2022b: "May the very compass of the Lahmu-gods speak in his favour".

2.6.2 Commentary

9/11 sa-bi-'u: the verb sabā'u 'to toss, to bound' (AHw II 999: CAD S 2) is frequently associated with the tossing of the sea and with waves being agitated by storms or gods. The verb here seems to be a G-stem participle, probably to be taken as a divine attribute. 62 A poetic image connecting the god with massive waves can be supplied in this line, because it would match ll. 49-50, where the sufferer is said to be cast into the water and hit by waves. It is possible therefore that $s\bar{a}bi'u$ describes here the god churning and tossing like a threatening mass of water.

10/12 nap-šur-ka: an alternation between the two aspects of the god - his rage and his compassion - runs throughout the opening hymnic section (Il. 9-37). For this reason, we can hypothesise that napšurka was used to create an opposition between the god's anger - perhaps associated with the destructive power of floodwater in ll. 9/11 - and his mercy. Moreover, in *Marduk*2, ll. 80-1/82-3, we read:

```
81 be-lu, ug-gu-uk-ka k[i]-i ga-pa-áš a-bu-[bi]
```

A similar antithetic parallelism can be expected in the Nabû Prayer. 64

14/16 The *caesura* prevents the reading \acute{u} -sa-hir suggested in the latest edition by von Soden. 65 The Ú sign does not seem to belong to the following word, as it is immediately followed by the metrical break.

- 63 Translation by the Author and restoration from K.9917+K.17647, identified by Oshima as belonging to manuscript C of this text (see Oshima 2011, 88). He restores this line (2011, 229 and 245): [ur-r]a nap-šur-ka še-z[u-z]u [m]u-u-š[im], and translates (246): "A day is your forgiveness, angry by night (i.e. a night is your anger)". However, the signs in the second half of the line suggested by Oshima do not fit the traces (photo collation, but cf. also the copy of the tablet recently published in George, Taniquchi 2019, 92, no. 104). Therefore, I offer the following restoration: [ur-r]a nap-šur-ka še-z[u-z]u uš-pa-áš-ši[h]. Cf. also Ludlul I, l. 2: e-ziz mu-ši mu-rupl-pa-šir ur-r[i], "raging at night, relenting at day", cf. George, Al-Rawi 1998, 92 and Oshima 2014, 78-9, 281.
- 64 For the classification of the various types of parallelism, see Bühlmann, Scherer 1994, 37-42; Wagner 2007, 15-16; Streck 2007, 170-5. Specifically for the definition of the antithetic parallelism, see Bühlmann, Scherer 1994, 40; Watson 1999, 170; Wagner 2007, 15-16; Berlin 1979, 13 and 1999, 154; Streck 2007, 171. I will discuss further the different types of parallelisms used in the Nabû Prayer and in the other Great Hymns and Prayers in chapter 5, § 5.2.4.1, where I provide examples of this literary device as it occurs in the compositions under study.
- 65 See von Soden 1971, 50.

^{82[}ur-r]a nap-šur-ka še-z[u-z]u uš-pa-áš-ši[h]

⁸¹Lord, your anger is [l]ike a massive delu[ge]

⁸²In the morning there is your forgiveness, the furious one relen[ts].63

Von Soden 1971, 62. 62

The traces after *sa-hir* could be reconciled with *šèr-ti*. I suggest to take *sa-hir* as a stative, and read *sahir šērta*, as referred to the sufferer, in the sense of being 'surrounded', 'bound' by the punishment.

17/19 $[\acute{u}^2$ - $\check{s}um^2$ - gal^2 -l]u uz-za-ka: restored on the basis of Marduk2, l. 45: \acute{u} - $\check{s}um$ -gal-li uz-za-ka ta- $k\acute{a}m$ -mi se-e-ni, "The $u\check{s}umgallu$ -dragon is your rage, you overcome the malevolent". ⁶⁶ The mythical dragon $u\check{s}umgallu^{67}$ is often used in reference to Marduk's anger, but is also an epithet of both Marduk and Nabû. ⁶⁸ It occurs most often in Akkadian hymnic texts addressed to deities, but is also attested as an epithet of kings. ⁶⁹

18/20 These lines could represent another example of the parallelismus membrorum found so often in this prayer. A second person singular present tense verb (tanaššar), and its object (hisbu) are preserved after the break that occurs at the beginning of both lines, and right after the vertical line representing the caesura. The substantive hegallu ('abundance'), having a meaning very similar to that of hisbu, is preserved in the second hemistich. For this reason, a verb corresponding in meaning and form to tanaššar can be expected in the first hemistich as well. The result is a parallelism of the synonymous type. 70 tu-da-áš-šá would fit the given space. Indeed, a similar use of dešû is attested in other Akkadian prayers, see for example a šuilla prayer to Marduk (Marduk5), rev. 10: mu-deš-šu-ú HÉ.GÁL "The one who supplies abundance". The one who supplies abundance". See CAD D 130 for other attestations. However, other verbs meaning 'you give, you provide, you bestow' and so forth are also possible, and another possible restoration could be, for instance, tukammar, from kamāru 'to heap up', also attested in similar contexts (cf. CAD K 114 mng. 4a, sub kummuru).

21/23 Restoration based on Marduk1, l. 87: diskur $s\acute{a}$ -gi-m[u]. The substantive $s\acute{a}$ gimu/ $s\acute{a}$ gimmu (AHw II 1127; CAD S/I 73, 'roar, cry') is mentioned in von Soden's study on the hymno-epic dialect as an example of the high-register vocabulary that characterises this literary

- 66 Oshima 2011, 226, 242-3.
- **67** Landsberger 1934a, 55.
- 68 Tallqvist 1938, 34.
- 69 Cf. Seux 1967, 355; Oshima 2011, 314 and 335.
- **70** Cf. Bühlmann, Scherer 1994, 38-40; Watson 1999, 170; Wagner 2007, 16; Berlin 1979, 13-14 and 1999, 154-5; Streck 2007, 171. Cf. chapter 5, § 5.2.4.1.1.
- 71 Oshima 2011, 356-7. For a complete edition of this text see Mayer 1993, 313-37.
- 72 See Oshima 2011, 149, 162-3.
- 73 Von Soden 1933, 90-183.

style. The same noun is also found in the *Prayer to Ištar*, l. 18: šá-g[i] muk^{75} (cf. the edition of this text in chapter 3).

The noun *airry* (fire) in the second half of the line is employed as a metaphor for the god's mercilessness. For this reason another natural phenomenon describing another aspect of the god can be expected in the first half of the line. In this way, the two nouns would form a chiastic structure within the line: the wind would parallel the fire, and the god's roar his ruthlessness. The line seems to display the structure AB||BA: diškur šá-aim-mu-uk || la pa-du-uk air-ri. This would be a 'synthetic' parallelism, in which the image expressed in the first part is amplified or completed in the second. In this case, we would have an amplification, describing two different aspects of the raging god - his roar and his ruthlessness. 76

The restoration can be further corroborated by the common usage of the verb *šagāmu* as referred to Adad (for attestations see *CAD* Š/I 63-4).

23/25 For the restoration [šibbu(?) a-ma]-ru-uk, see Marduk1, ll. 5/7: šá a-ma-ru-uk šib-bu ga-pa-áš a-bu-šin.⁷⁷ Some scholars have read a-ma-ru-uk as a G-stem infinitive form of amāru 'to see', followed by the pronominal suffix for the second person singular, 78 whereas others⁷⁹ consider it to be a borrowing from Sumerian a-ma-ru, a-má-ru, a-má-ru, which corresponds to the Akkadian abūbu 'devastating flood' (CAD A/I 80), 'Sintflut, Wasserflut' (AHw I 8). The original Sumerian word passed into Akkadian directly as the rare noun emaruk(ku), taken by von Soden (AHw I 211) to refer to the mythological deluge-serpent ("Sintflutdrache"), but translated by Oshima and other scholars as an equivalent of šibbu and abūbu, therefore alluding more generally to a destructive rush of water (though the meaning 'flood-dragon' is not excluded). 80 Thus, Oshima bases his reading on the Sumerian antecedent, offering the following translation for ll. 5/7 of the Marduk1: "He who is the deluge, a šibbu dragon, a massive flood". 81 Given the learned and high-registered nature of the

- Von Soden 1933, 168.
- Lambert 1959-60, 50.
- Bühlmann, Scherer 1994, 41; Streck 2007, 171.
- Oshima 2011, 142, 158-9. 77
- Lambert 1959-60, 55, translates it as "Your stare"; Mayer 1995, 172, offers: "Du, dessen Blicken eine šibbu-Schlange ist". CAD A/1, 93 sub abušim: "You, whose glance is a serpent, a massive..." See also Fadhil, Jiménez 2019, 13 and 15: "[Who]se stare is a dragon, a flood overwhelming".
- Oshima 2011, 171.
- Oshima 2003, 110; 2011, 171-3. 80
- Oshima 2011, 159.

Great Hymns and Prayers, it is not unlikely that in ll. 5/7 of Marduk1 both meanings, and indeed both interpretations of a-ma-ru-uk – be it G-stem infinitive of amāru with pronominal suffix or the Sumerian loan-word meaning 'Flood' or 'Flood-dragon' – are present as an intentional double entendre.⁸²

Similarly, the restoration [$\check{s}ibbu(?)$ a-ma]-ru-uk in ll. 23/25 of the Na- $b\hat{u}$ Prayer would allow a comparable pun, yet with a variation: here a-ma-ru-uk, if taken with the meaning of 'your glare', would pair with ne-ke-el-mu-uk 'your frown', in the second hemistich, thus creating a synonymous parallelism within the line. The resulting symmetry is both thematic and syntactic, involving the repetition of the same concept in both hemistichs, i.e. the threatening look in the eyes of Nabû, and of the same syntactic structure, i.e. a nominal phrase (substantive – substantive + pronominal suffix || substantive – substantive + pronominal suffix).

Furthermore, the usage of *amāruk* would allow a 'multi-layered' reading, as in *Marduk*1. Indeed, taking *a-ma-ru-uk* as 'your stare' is possible, because *amāruk* belongs to the same semantic field as *nekelmûk*, and would have the same syntactic function within the line. On the other hand, the allusion to the deluge or to the deluge-dragon suits the context as well, as 'the storm cloud' (*urpatu*) occurs in the next half of the line. The pair storm/flood is a common literary association in Mesopotamian literature, used to represent a devastating force in the description of, for instance, divine power, battles or catastrophes.⁸³

26/28 As in ll. 18/20, a verbal form in the second-person singular seems likely, because it would parallel the same form in the second hemistich. I tentatively restore <code>tušteššer</code>, on the basis of l. 49 of <code>Marduk2: tu-uš-te-eš-šer i-šá-ra tu-šam-ṭa ra-ag-ga</code>, "You make the righteous man prosper, you diminish the malicious".

84 Cf. also the commentary on <code>Marduk2</code>, l. 44, which also shows the <code>-i</code> ending for the accusative <code>išara: [...] 'ma¹-lu-u: tu-uš-te-šir i-šá-ri [x x (x)], "[...] means 'to fill'. 'You make the righteous prosper' [...]".85</code>

⁸² Moreover, Goodnick Westenholz 1996, 197 fn. 57, quotes these lines of Marduk1 to observe the refined wordplay between the Sumerian etymology of Marduk's name and his personification as the flood. Lambert (apud Foster 2005, 682) had already suggested this explanation in relation to Marduk's prayer BMS 12, l. 7: "Deluge-weapon [hopeless] to combat, [whose onslaught] is furious". Here Lambert indicates a possible pun based on the exegesis of the name of Marduk, that was probably interpreted as the sum of the Sumerian word for deluge, a-ma-ru, and the word for 'weapon', tukul: the name would then be etymologised as (A)mar(u)tuku(l).

⁸³ Cf. Goodnick Westenholz 1996, 197-8.

⁸⁴ Oshima 2011, 226, 242-3.

⁸⁵ Jiménez 2017, https://ccp.yale.edu/P461258.

[t]u-ka-ni iš-di-šú: the redundant vowel at the end of the verb could be the result of an 'enriched' sandhi spelling (CV-V structure). 86 However, it can also be an 'overhanging vowel', as suggested by von Soden in the previous edition of this text. 87 For a similar formulation cf. also l. 171 of the Ištar Prayer: kib-su-uš du-un-ni-ni iš-du-uš k[in-ni], "Strengthen his path, make his foundations st[able]!", Marduk2, l. 77: issahhur-ma ša ušhalsû ukān išd[īšu], "He turns towards the one, who ..., he makes his foundations stable" (E. Jiménez forthcoming, personal communication), a literary hymn to Marduk, l. 14: šá ki-i-ni pali-hi-ka tu-ka-an iš-di-[šu]. "(But) for the righteous, who reveres you. you strengthen his position", 88 and an acrostic hymn to Nabû, K.8204, 1. 7': ša₂ en-ši u dun-na-mi-i tu-kan i[š-di-šá], "You strengthen the position of the weak and of the fool". 89 Cf. CAD I 237 sub išdu, 2.90

29/31 e-de-ed-ka ri-bi: K.11373, l. 1' preserves these signs. I understand e-de-ed-ka as an infinitive form of ededu G 'to be/become pointed', with pronominal suffix; ri-bi could theoretically be rību I 'earthquake', rību II 'setting', rību III 'replacement', or even rību IV, a type of pot. Contextually 'earthquake'.

The phrase probably describes a divine quality, yet the metaphor expressed by it seems confusing, given the fact that an earthquake can hardly be comparable with the action of being or becoming pointed. This perplexing image can be clarified by comparison with Ludlul I. l. 19:

```
<sup>19</sup>id-du-ud-ma ri-ma-šu (var.: -MU) ú-gan-na (var.: -ni)<sup>91</sup>
```

This line presents certain difficulties, and has been interpreted differently by various scholars. The verb \acute{u} -KAN-na is mostly analysed as kannû D 'to care for, to look after'. 92 Oshima, 93 however, reads ú-ganna, taking this form as a third-person masculine singular from qunnû. The G-stem infinitive *genû* is attested in *Malku* IV 84, bearing the meaning of nakāpu 'to butt', and in Malku IV 86 the D-stem infinitive

- **86** Worthington 2012, 176.
- 87 Von Soden 1971, 62.
- 88 Mitto 2020, 256; cf. Jakob 2018, no. 13.
- 89 Strong 1895, 137.
- 90 liménez forthcoming.
- 91 Oshima 2014, 78 and 382.
- 92 Foster 2005, 395; George, Al-Rawi 1998, 195 and note to ll. 19-20; von Soden 1990,
- 115; Annus et al. 2010, 16.
- 93 Oshima 2014, 179-80.

gunnû is explained with nukkupu. Piccin and Worthington, follow this identification of the verb and translate \acute{u} -gan-na as 'he butts'. Different interpretations have been offered to explain ri-ma-šu/MU. George and Al-Rawi, for example, suggest reading it as ra'mu 'beloved', a verbal adjective from $r\^{a}mu$ 'to love'. They translate the line as follows: "he hurries to treat his darling tenderly". Piccin and Worthington, on the other hand, take ri-ma-MU as ri-ma-NĪŠ, postulating a syllabic value $ni\~{s}_x$ for MU, derived from the equation mu = $ni\~{s}u$ 'oath'. The final word, resulting from this 'Rebus-Schreibung', is then the expression $rim\~{a}ni\~{s}$ 'like a bull' (AHw II 985; CAD R 355), a literary combination formed by the noun rimu plus the adverbial suffix $-ani\~{s}$ (see GAG § 67 c). The variant ri-ma- $s\~{s}u$ in the other manuscript is then a scribal mistake.

Combining the readings $r\bar{\imath}m\bar{a}ni\check{s}$ and \acute{u} -GAN-na yields the final translation, with Piccin and Worthington, of the second hemistich as 'he butts like a bull'. The first hemistich, however, remains unexplained. The verbal form id-du-ud-ma has been mostly taken as deriving from $ed\bar{e}du$ G-stem, present, third person masculine singular, under the supposition that in this case the G-stem has the same meaning as the D-stem, 'to act quickly'. However, there are no attested cases of this meaning for $ed\bar{e}du$ G.

A different understanding of the verb is suggested by Oshima. He maintains the semantic distinction between the meaning of $ed\bar{e}du$ in the D- and G-stem, translating id-du-ud as "he becomes pointed". He adds, however, the additional (and otherwise unattested) semantic nuance of "becoming angry". Thus Oshima translates the line: "When he becomes pointed (i.e. becomes angry?) he butts his (var.: my) wild bull". Piccin and Worthington are ambivalent about the D-stem/G-stem distinction, giving in their article two different translations of id-du-ud-ma: "He hastens to butt like a wild bull" (p. 115) and "He $looks\ sharp$ and butts like a bull" (p. 122).

- 94 Hrůša 2010, 96-7, 243.
- 95 Piccin, Worthington 2015, 115.
- **96** George, Al-Rawi 1998, 195.
- **97** This reading has been accepted by Foster 2005, 395 ("He is impetuous to cherish the one he loves(?)") and Annus, Lenzi 2010, 16 ("He hastens to treat his beloved(?) kindly"). Von Soden 1990, 115, instead, takes this noun as $r\bar{e}mu$ 'womb' or 'pity, compassion', and translated: "Er eilt hin und pflegt ihren Mutterleib". A summary of other previous interpretations is offered in Oshima 2022, 47-9. In the same article, Oshima provides his own reading of ri-ma-MU, i.e. ri-ma-sum for rimasum fully, where -sum is understood as a terminative suffix. Oshima translates the form with "at a wild bull'.
- 98 Cf. also Mayer 1995, 170.
- 99 Already Foster 1981, 189, tentatively suggested this reading, though without providing an explanation.
- 100 Oshima 2014, 78.

Thus far, neither translation of *id-du-ud-ma* is satisfactory. It seems to me that edēdu in this context cannot simply mean 'to be or become pointy' or 'to hasten', but should instead express a nuance of greater violence - something equal to the aggressive movement described by \dot{u} -aan-na in the next half of the line. Moreover, most previous interpretations do not explain the usage of the same verb in the $Nab\hat{u}$ *Prayer*, in which the action warrants comparison with an earthquake. Foster's translation of *id-du-ud-ma* as "He charges forward (?)"¹⁰¹ is an exception, though previously dismissed for lack of evidence. 102 The meaning of edēdu G-stem 'to charge', however, can now be corroborated by means of lexical lists. In Proto-Izi II 146 (MSL 13, 45) the Sumerian verb du_z-du_z is glossed by the Gtn infinitive form of *edēdu*: du_{τ} - $du_{\tau}^{a-ta-du-du}$. Since du_{τ} is equated, among other verbs, with $nak\bar{a}pu$ 'to butt', 103 one can assume that edēdu in Proto-Izi II 146 should have a similar meaning. Thus, Ludlul I. l. 19 can be translated: 'He charges forwards and butts like a bull'.

This interpretation also suits ll. 29/31 of the $Nab\hat{u}$ Prayer, in which an analogous use of $ed\bar{e}du$ as verbum movendi, and with the meaning 'to charge', can be suggested: in this way, e-de-ed-ka can be translated as 'your charging'. The metaphor probably depicts the god as a wild animal, likely a goring bull, ¹⁰⁴ whose violent charge shakes the earth, thus producing the same effect as a powerful earthquake.

33/35 [... h]u-hu-um s \acute{a} -ma-mi: the first hemistich is lost, but a few signs are preserved after the break. The new fragment K.18434 confirms von Soden's reading hu-hu-um. hu-hu-um seems to be an unknown word, but one would expect a noun in construct chain with h \acute{a} mdm

- **101** Foster 1981, 189.
- 102 Moran 1983, 260.
- **103** See *CAD*/1 156-7 sub *nakāpu* A, lex. sec. The reduplication of the Sumerian verbal base expresses the iterative aspect corresponding to the Gtn-stem in Akkadian.

- 105 See von Soden 1971, 50.
- 106 Hrůša 2010, 66-7, 219 and 347.

The bull was often used in similes and metaphors to represent an overpowering force. As Goodnick Westenholz points out, the wild bull used to symbolise "kinetic energy and power out of control" (Goodnick Westenholz 1996, 191). We can find this image for example in SB Gilgameš I, l. 30: ri-i-mu mut-tak-pu "butting wild bull" (George 2003, 538-9) or Tablet I, 212: ù ki-ſi¹ rīmi(AM) ug-da-áš-šá-ru eli (UGU) etlūti (GURUŠ.MEŠ), "and lords it over the menfolk like a wild bull" (550-1, cf. Goodnick Westenholz 1996, 192). The same association was used in reference to a king: ri-mu-um ka-ad-ru-um mu-na-ak-ki-ip za-i-ri, "goring bull, who attacks the enemies" CH col. iii, 8 (cf. Oshima 2014, 179).

34/36 The visible traces before PAD can be reconciled with *ki-ma*. In his online edition, Lenzi (2021) reads SUR instead of PAD, providing the reading *sur-ain-ni*: the sign, however, seems more reconcilable with PAD. I tentatively read pāt ginni, in the sense of 'the family circle', although one would expect a spelling pa-at. Nevertheless, one has to consider the possibility of a scribal mistake, as the scribe could have easily confused PAD and SUR. In that case, the reading offered by Lenzi would be possible. The reading remains uncertain. With respect to the second hemistich, von Soden reads NAG after qin-ni, providing the reconstruction nag-mir iš-di-hu. 107 The sign after NI, however, looks like KA, whence the reading kāmir 'provider'. The usual pair be-lu and dAG occurs in the couplet immediately following (Il. 37/39), therefore a different short appellative or epithet can be expected in the first half of ll. 34/36. An example of a tentative restoration could be attā because it would fit the available space in the break, expressing emphasis.

41/43 muk-kal-li: this substantive is attested in Malku IV 8-9, as a synonym of apkallu 'expert' (l. 8), pašīšu 'anointed' (l. 8a) and āšipu 'exorcist' (l. 9):108

```
8
      mu-kal-lu,
                       ap-kal-lu
      [mu-kal-lu<sub>4</sub>]
                      [p]a-ši-šu
8a
      mu-kal-lu,
                       a-ši-pu
9
```

Cf. also a 'Practical Lú-List' in MSL 12, 233, col. i 18: Lú mu-rkal! -lu. It is generally translated as 'a priest or exorcist' (see CAD M/II 187; AHw II 670 offers: 'Ein Priester oder Gelehrter'). It comes from the Sumerian nun-gal, equated in Lú III 6" to the word apkallu (MSL 12, 121). See also the group in Erimhuš V 7-9: NUN^{ab-gal} . ME = ap-kallum, $i-\check{s}i-\check{t}b$ ME = $a-\check{s}i-pu$, MEMIN-gal = $i-\check{s}i-gal-lu$, (MSL 17, 67). The same noun is also attested in a ritual text, 109 which, however, does not offer any further information about the specific role of the *mukkallu*-priest. *e-še-eš-tu*₁: this word is a *hapax*, and its exact meaning is obscure. It creates a wordplay with a-ši-ši in the succeeding lines (ll. 42/44), and could be a feminine nominal form derived from ašāšu/ešēšu (AHw I 79; CAD A/II 424-5) 'to catch'. It seems to be a PIRIST noun, possibly to be understood with a passive nuance, namely 'what is caught'. It is possible that this word yields a meaning corresponding to that of ihzu 'knowledge', derived from ahāzu 'to take', but also 'to understand, to learn' (AHw I 19; CAD A/I 177).

```
107 "Fülle auf den Gewinn!", cf. von Soden 1971, 50-1
```

Hrůša 2010, 92-3, 239, 379.

¹⁰⁹ Falkenstein 1959, 40, l. 5'.

42/44 rap-šá uz-ni: this epithet is an example of the so-called damqam- $\bar{n}nim$ construction, i.e. a nominal construct chain formed by two components: an adjective or a substantive displaying the ending -a(m), and a noun, that can be either declined in the genitive or be in status absolutus. Our case represents the most common type of D- $\bar{\iota}$, namely the compound that has an adjective as its first element. This construction only occurs in literary texts, personal names or lexical lists, and is often attested in first-millennium Akkadian hymns in standard expressions, like: $nas\hat{a}$ $r\bar{e}si$ 'with the head held high', rapsa irti 'strong'. l

 $a\text{-}\dot{s}i\text{-}\dot{s}i\text{:}$ I take $a\text{-}\dot{s}i\text{-}\dot{s}i$ as a participle of $a\dot{s}a\dot{s}u$ IV 'to catch' (AHw I 79; CAD/A II 424-5), in the broader sense of 'to gather', 'to collect'. Let For this meaning of $a\dot{s}a\dot{s}u$, which is closer to the Sumerian ur $_4$ 'to gather', 'to collect', see the Commentary to Theodicy on l. 200: 'ka¹-[áš-šá]-a-tú: ੈha-am-ma-a-tú: ur $_4$: $a\text{-}\dot{s}a\text{-}\dot{s}\dot{u}$: ur $_4$: ha-ma-mu "'You embrace' means 'you encompass', (since) ur $_4$ means 'to catch' (and) ur $_4$ means 'to encompass'". Li3

šu-ka-a-mu: 'scrībal skill' (AHw III 1202; CAD Š/III 213), cf. a šuilla prayer to Nabû (Nabû Prayer 4), l. 6: er-šu pal-ku-ú ha-mi-im šu-ki-am-mu, "wise, of wide knowledge, who masters the scribal art". 114 Another manuscript of the same prayer presents the variant šu-ki-a-am. Considering the two spellings šu-ki-am-mu and šu-ki-a-am, one could hypothesise a contraction of the diphthong and a development of šukiāmu into šukâmu. 115 The origin of this noun is unclear, but probably Semitic. 116 Cf. also Theodicy, l. 205, [... tu]p-pa-ni ma-li šu-ka-mi, "... tablets, filled with scribal skill". 117 See CAD Š/III 213 for more attestations of this noun.

45/47 šal-ba-ba: there are two meanings attested for this word (AHw II 1147; CAD Š/I 241). The first one is 'fierce', entered in AnŠ 44 as

¹¹⁰ Detailed studies on this topic have been offered various scholars, see for instance von Soden 1960, 163-71; Reiner 1966, 125-7; 1970, 274-303; 1984, 177-82; see also Wasserman 2003, 45-60, with further bibliography.

¹¹¹ Groneberg 1987, 1: 89.

¹¹² Cf. Lambert 1960, 303.

¹¹³ For the transliteration and translation of this line see the *Theodicy* Commentary edited online by Jiménez 2017b, at https://ccp.yale.edu/P404917. Cf. also Lambert 1960, 82; Oshima 2014, 454. For specific remarks on this line see Lambert 1960, 302.

¹¹⁴ Mayer 1990, 461.

¹¹⁵ Mayer 1990, 465. Cf. Beaulieu, Mayer 1997, 178.

¹¹⁶ For a more detailed discussion, see von Soden 1960, 166.

¹¹⁷ Translation by the Author. Cf. Oshima 2014, 160-1, 360.

a synonym of $qarr\bar{a}du$ 'warrior': $s\bar{a}l$ -ba-bu = MIN(qar-ra-du). ¹¹⁸ The second meaning is 'wise', attested in the Commentary to Theodicy, l. 11': $s\bar{a}l$ -ba-ba = $n\acute{e}$ -me-qa. ¹¹⁹ See also Sm.463, rev. i 20' (CT 19, pl. 11): $[n\,a\,m$ - $k\,u]$ - $z\,u$ = $s\bar{a}l$ -ba-bu 'wise = $s\bar{a}lb\bar{a}bu$ ' (Lanu B 20 according to CAD Š/1 241 $s\bar{a}lb\bar{a}bu$ lex. sec.; restoration ibid.) The first meaning suits our line better, as tassabus 'you have become angry', follows in the second hemistich. However, it is quite possible that the scribe intentionally aimed to maintain ambiguity, especially when considering the preceding couplet in which the god is praised for his wisdom. This adjective is also found in Marduk1, ll. 192/4: be- lu_4 $s\bar{a}l$ -ba-bu li-nu-uh $ri\bar{s}$ -tuk, "May the furious Lord rest in your celebration". ¹²⁰

In addition, another parallel is found in a penitential prayer to *Marduk*, l. 11: *ru-qa-an-ni* [*kib-ri*] *na-ba-lu né-sa-an-ni*, "[The beach] is far from me, the dry land is remote from me". 123

This example confirms von Soden's hypothesis that interprets the pronominal suffixes in ru-uq- $\check{s}u$ and $n\acute{e}$ -si- $i\check{s}$ (l. 50 of the $Nab\hat{u}$ Prayer) as accusatives of relation. ¹²⁴

e-liš can be interpreted as $el\bar{i}$ over him'. Von Soden suggests that the final vowel could have been dropped due to the following *i*-vowel in itta[kkip].

51 Contrary to what was suggested by von Soden, I read *a-na* pa-ra-a'-a le-e-mu, and not a-na pa-ra-a' a-le-e-mu. I take le-e-mu as $l\bar{e}mu$, which occurs in the lexical lists as le-e-mu and le-em-ma: $Erim hu\check{s}$ IV 95 (146): $nu-\check{s}e=le-e-mu$ 'to disagree = nu is unwilling' (MSL 17, 61); $nu-\check{s}e=le-e-mu$ 'the is unwilling =

¹¹⁸ Hrůša, Weiershäuser 2020, 214. Cf. also see *LTBA* II, 2, obv. i 44.

¹¹⁹ See Jiménez 2017b at https://ccp.yale.edu/P404917; cf. Lambert 1960, 82 and Oshima 2014, 455.

¹²⁰ Oshima 2011, 168-9; cf. note for these lines, 189.

¹²¹ Translation by the Author. Cf. Oshima 2011, 244-5 and 75.

¹²² Oshima 2011, 160-1.

¹²³ Van der Toorn 1985, 141 and 143; von Soden 1987, 71. Cf. Jaques 2015, 257.

¹²⁴ Von Soden 1961, 156-62; 1971, 63.

¹²⁵ Von Soden 1971, 63. Cf. Groneberg 1987, 1: 127.

not to approve'. 126 The word $l\bar{e}mu$ has led to different interpretations: CAD lists it under $lem\hat{u}$ ($l\bar{e}mu$, lemmu) (CAD L 125-6), and analyses it as an adjectival form possibly derived from a defective verb $lem\hat{u}$, exclusively attested in the stative (cf. AHw II 543 'Ungehorsamer'). 127 According to Veenhof, however, $l\bar{e}mu$ is to be connected with the verb $mu'\bar{a}'u$ 'to want', and is the compound of a finite form of this verb and the negative particle $l\bar{a}$. 128 Veenhof suggests that the resulting word be treated as a 'pseudo-stative'. He explains the form lemma (see CAD L 126a) as $l\bar{e}m$ + vowel, namely a spelling variant of lemmu.

A passage in the *Gilgameš* Epic (SB IX, ll. 173-4) can clarify the grammatical category of *le-e-mu* in the *Nabû Prayer*:

```
<sup>173</sup>sāmtu(<sup>na4</sup>GUG) na-šá-at i-ni-ib-šá
```

- ¹⁷³A cornelian (tree) was in fruit,
- ¹⁷⁴Hung with bunches of grapes, lovely to behold. ¹²⁹

The syntactic structure of these lines corresponds to that of l. 51 in the $Nab\hat{u}$ Prayer, thus proving that le-e-mu within the present context must be taken as a stative, probably referring to $q\hat{u}$ (the web) – which is 'unwilling', that is, difficult to cut; a-na pa-ra-a'-a must be interpreted as ana para'i.

52 The literary motif of the supplicant stuck in the swamp can be found also, for example, in the diĝiršadabba prayer no. 9, l. 27'-28': GIM a-ri-id ap-pa-ri ina ru-šum-d[e]-'e¹ na-da-ku, "like one who goes down in the marshes, I have fallen in the mud",¹³⁰ in an Akkadian prayer to Marduk: ki-i GUD.MEŠ na-da-ku ina ru-šu-un-tam-m[a], "like oxen, I was cast into mud",¹³¹ and in a eršaḥuĝa prayer: i-na me-e ru-šum-di na-di qa-as-su ṣa-bat, "he was cast into the mud, take his hand!".¹³² The morass mentioned in these examples is to be interpreted as a metaphor for the underworld, which is often described as a mire in

```
126 Hrůša 2010, 118-19, 404.
```

¹⁷⁴ is-ḫu-un-na-tu₄ ul-lu-la-at ana da-ga-la ḫi-pat

¹²⁷ See the remarks in *CAD* L 126. Cf. Hrůša 2010, 257-8.

¹²⁸ Veenhof 1986, 241.

¹²⁹ George 2003, 672-3. My thanks to I. Hrůša who kindly pointed out these lines to me.

¹³⁰ Lambert 1974, 278-9, l. 100; cf. also Jacques 2015, 77, 100. Cf. Oshima 2011, 280, note to l. 15'.

¹³¹ Oshima 2011, 278-9, l. 15'

¹³² See Maul 1988, 240 and 243, l. 38 (rev.); cf. Van der Toorn 1985, 190 fn. 149.

the ancient near Eastern literary tradition. Incidentally, we note that ll. 51-2 form a synthetic parallelism that pairs the metaphorical web ($q\acute{e}$ -e $\acute{s}ib$ -qi 'a web of deception') and the marsh, both trapping the sufferer.

54/56 [š]u-ut-bi šèr-tuš šu-liš ina na-ri-i[ṭ-ṭu]: Marduk1, l. 154 has a similar passage: šu-ut-bi-ma šer-tuk-ka ina na-ri-ṭi eṭ-ra-ás-sú, "Remove your punishment, and from the morass, save him". 134

58 $[in]a\ pi-i\ le-'u-\dot u\ da-ab-ru\ na-di-ma$: von Soden¹³⁵ hypothesises that $le-'u-\dot u\ da-ab-ru$ might be some sort of demon, but the expression remains obscure. Similar expressions are found in Marduk1, ll. 13/15: $ti-de\ ina\ pi-i\ \check{s}\check{e}r-ti\ pa-na\ ba-ba-la$, "You know (how) to forgive in the mouth of punishment", and l. 153: $ina\ pi-i\ ka-ra-\check{s}e-e\ na-di\ iR-ka$, "Your slave is cast in the mouth of annihilation", 136 and in the $Prayer\ to\ I\check{s}tar$, l. 173: $pa-i\check{s}\ ka-ra-\check{s}i\ pi-di-\check{s}\check{u}$, "Save him from the mouth of annihilation". While $ina\ p\bar{i}\ \check{s}erti$ is generally understood in a prepositional sense, and thus translated 'in the face of sin/guilt', the expression $ina\ p\bar{i}\ kara\check{s}\hat{e}$ has been taken as a metaphor for the open grave. Due to the breaking, it is unclear whether the formulation in our line should be interpreted in a similar sense, that is to say metaphorically, or in a concrete sense – i.e. indicating the mouth of a demon or the like.

I have tentatively restored *ugann*[an] at the end of the line, from *kanānu/ganānu* 'to encircle' (AHw I 280; CAD G 40).

77 na-ak-mi: the precise meaning of this noun is uncertain; von Soden considers nakmu, and its variant nakimtu, as a verbal adjective from the root *nkm, translating it as 'aufgehäuft' (AHw II 722 nakmu I; CAD N/I 189). With regard to the attestation of this term in our Nabû Prayer, von Soden proposes to take nakmu as some sort of disease ('etwas Krankhaftes am Leibe', AHw II 723 nakmu I, 2), and translates "das Ausgesammelte". 139 Farber, instead, considers nakmu and nakimtu as two variants meaning 'pus' or 'abscess', emphasising the frequent use of both nouns in connection with the verb waşû in

- 133 Watson 1999, 187. Cf. Van der Toorn 1985, 65.
- 134 Oshima 2011, 166-7, 187; cf. Fadhil, Jiménez 2019, 12 and 15.
- 135 Von Soden 1971, 64.
- 136 Oshima 2011, 166 e 186;
- 137 Lambert 1959-60, 53. Cf. chapter 3.
- **138** For a more detailed study on this expression see Oshima 2001, 14-19.
- 139 Von Soden 1971, 46, 77.

the Š-stem 'to release'. He translates nakmu as 'Angespeichertes'. 140 Cf. also Malku VIII 38: $\lceil na \rceil$ -AK- $ma \rceil$ i- $ni \rceil$ = su-uh-h[u-tu] "Person mit ei-nem bestimmten Augendefekt = ausgepresst (= mit hervortretenden Augen?). 141 I follow this second interpretation.

a-[hi-ta]: the restoration fits the traces and provides a parallelism with $ina\ ka[m\hat{a}ti]$ 'in the open country', in the next line.

78 tal-tal-ti: this hapax has been interpreted by von Soden as a loanword from the Targumic Aramaic tltwl 'migration, exile', derived from the root tltl 'to move, to shake'. Hence, von Soden suggests an Akkadian hapax taltaltu, taking it as a foreign word meaning 'homeless' (cf. AHw III 1379, 'Heimatlose'; CAD T 45, 'fugitive woman'). 142 The etymology is, however, uncertain, and von Soden's interpretation can now be dismissed by a parallel passage, in which the same simile occurs. The fragment K.4953 (eBL transliteration), ll. 14-15 reads: x [(x)] 'tal'-tal-ginz bar-bar-ra-t[a ...-dag-dag] || [x (x) ki-m]a 'tal'-tal-ti ina ka-ma-[a-ti it-ta-nam-qi-iš? (...)]. The Sumerian term [(x)]-tal-tal implies that the Akkadian word meant here is a feminine form of taltallu, var. taltallû, namely the '(male) inflorescence of the date palm' (AHw III 1312; CAD T 104). This simile likely refers to the pollen being dispersed (nagāšu Gtn) and carried by the wind. 143 Such a hapax seems not improbable in our text, and could confirm the reading of similarly learned and rare words, such as $\tilde{sid}[\bar{\imath}tu]$ in l. 176b.

The motif of the supplicant wandering around is well attested in literary texts. Often used to describe the suffering of the supplicant, this topos implies the abandonment from the gods, and a feeling of loneliness and despair. Let See for example Ludlul I, ll. 49-50: iš-šak-na-nimma i-da-at pi-rit-ti uš-te-şi É-ya ka-ma-a-ti ar-pu-rud, "Fearful omens beset me, I am got out of my house and wander outside". Let

79 *a-mu-ú i-zi-za*: for the meaning of *amû* 'einhändig', see *AHw* I 45b;¹⁴⁶ we note here the stereotypical motif of the supplicant complaining about being surpassed by weak or disabled individuals, also

- 140 Farber 1977, 83-5.
- 141 Hrůša 2010, 141, 273 and 423.
- 142 Cf. also Abraham, Sokoloff 2011, 55; Cohen, Klein 2014, 117-19.
- 143 I am grateful to Enrique Jiménez who pointed out this parallel to me and suggested this interpretation.
- 144 Cf. Barré 2001 for the usage of this topos in literary texts and even in letters to express despair. Cf. Rozzi 2019, 187.
- **145** The latest edition of *Ludlul* is by Oshima 2014; the translation used here is that of Lambert 1960, 33.
- 146 Von Soden 1971, 65.

attested for example in the *Theodicy*, l. 76: *il-an-nu ku-uṣ-ṣu-du pa-na-an-ni lil-li*, "A cripple surpasses me, a lunatic outstrips me", ¹⁴⁷ and in a *šuilla* prayer to Ištar (no. 2), ll. 59-61: *a-di ma-ti* ^dGAŠAN-MU *lil-lu a-ku-ú i-ba-a'-an-ni*, "How long, my mistress, will imbeciles and weaklings surpass me?". ¹⁴⁸

80 ni-is-sa- $t[u_4 \ u \ k\bar{u}ru(?)]$: these two nouns are frequently found in penitential prayers.

82/84 *qi-bi-tuk-ka*: this form is an example of the locative-adverbial case, a typical feature of the hymno-epic dialect.

¹⁴⁷ Cf. Lambert 1960, 76-7; Oshima 2014, 154-5 and 448. I base the translation on l. 28 of the *Theodicy* Commentary, see Jiménez 2017b.

¹⁴⁸ The latest edition is by Zgoll 2003, 42-59. The translation here is that offered by Foster 2005, 603.

¹⁴⁹ Mayer 1976, 83.

¹⁵⁰ Oshima 2011, 164.

¹⁵¹ Mayer 1976, 477.

¹⁵² Schollmeyer 1912, 97-8.

¹⁵³ Oshima 2014, 150-1.

¹⁵⁴ Cf. also Oshima 2014, 351.

¹⁵⁵ Hrůša 2010, 310-11, 204.

¹⁵⁶ Cf. Oshima 2011, 164 and 182-3.

before the word: [up-ta]h-hir dšà-[sur ...]), "The midwife is convened [at the bed of the woman in labor]" and l. 150 [ib-bat-ta]a-ma šá-sur-ra [...]. "The midwife cut [the umbilical cord ...]". 157

The end of the line is broken and makes it difficult to ascertain whether the word *šassūru* in the present context significates 'womb' or 'midwife'. The lack of a divine determinative might suggest that a personification of the mother goddess can be excluded, although, as can be seen in the above-mentioned passage in *Theodicy* (l. 130), the usage of the determinative sign seems guite flexible. In any case, the overall meaning of the line is clear: the god is the one who determines the birth of the child, who can be brought out of the womb only at the divine's command. For other attestations of *šassūru* in similar contexts, see CAD Š/II 146, meaning b. 158

The visible sign before the break looks like Ú, and not GA, as read by von Soden¹⁵⁹ Several verbs meaning 'to create', 'to fashion' or to 'draw forth', 'take out' could be possible. Cf. the translation by Foster. apud Rozzi 2022b: "At your command the midwife [drew me out?]".

85-6 I follow here the interpretation offered by Foster (apud Rozzi 2022b), which takes an-nam at the beginning of l. 85 as anna 'yes' (AHw I 52: CAD A/2 125), and understands the line as a reference to the recognition of the child on the part of the father. 160 Cf. also the note on this line in Foster 2005, 623. The following line (86) seems to further develop this concept, extending it to the forefathers ($abb\bar{u}\check{s}u$). This parallel couplet might express what follows the birth of the child, mentioned in the lines immediately preceding. The traces before the break in l. 85 are too poorly preserved to allow a reconstruction.

89-90 *i-la a-bi-i*: it is understood here as *ila abī* 'God, my father'. If the interpretation is correct, ila abī designates the personal god, commonly defined as 'father' or as 'god of the father' in Mesopotamian prayers and letter-prayers. 161 Cf. for instance an Old Babylonian letter-prayer, which opens as follows: ¹a-na DINGIR a-bi-ya ²qí-bi-ma,

¹⁵⁷ I follow here Heinrich 2022; cf. Oshima 2014, 156-7 and 456; Lambert 1960, 76-7.

¹⁵⁸ Cf. also Ps. 22:9: "Yet you brought me out of the womb; you made me trust in you, even at my mother's breast", and Ps. 139:13: "For you created my inmost being; you knit me together in my mother's womb", translation taken from the Holy Bible, New International Version, 2011.

¹⁵⁹ Von Soden 1971, 52.

^{160 &}quot;From my father's 'It's my child!' [...] | "The 'It's my child!' of his ancestors", see Foster apud Rozzi 2022b.

¹⁶¹ See Streck 2003, 425; cf. Steinert 2012, 127. For the concept of personal gods as parental figures, see Bosworth 2015.

"Speak to the god, my father". ¹⁶² The personal god in this verse parallels with $i\check{s}tar\bar{a}ni\check{s}$ in the following line (l. 90). The plene writing in a-bi-i is, however, problematic and the reading remains uncertain. ¹⁶³ The traces at the end of the line are compatible with $i\check{s}tammar$, taken here as Gtn preterite of $\check{s}am\bar{a}rum$ 'to praise', which parallels the present $iq\hat{a}l$ at the end of l. 90. In Akkadian literary texts, it is not uncommon to find a preterite followed by a present. In such contexts, the verbal form in the present usually expresses a durative action in the past, and may convey the idea of repetition. ¹⁶⁴ In this case, indeed, the present $iq\hat{a}l$ is used to express the repetition of an action that took place in the past, cf. Foster's translation of l. 90 (apud Rozzi 2022b): "He unceasingly heeded (his) goddess".

91/93 ti-le-é-a-um: archaising writing for tele' \hat{u} 'capable' (AHw III 1344; CAD T 327-8). The aleph sign is expressed through the sign A. Cf. also $r\ddot{e}$ ' \hat{u} 'shepherd', occasionally spelled as re-é-a-um (ASyll. 4 , 12*, 174c; cf. AHw II 976; CAD R 300). 165 The same spelling with A is attested in a Late Babylonian Manuscript of Marduk's Address to the Demons (KAL 9, 35 A 8), 166 see eSAD s.v. "tele' \hat{u} ". 167

pa-tar: the traces after PA are barely visibile, but there is space for one, perhaps two signs. I suggest to read TAR, and to restore the infinitive form paṭāra, cf. a similar line in Marduk1: ti-di ina pi-i šèr-ti pa-na ba-ba-la | pa-ṭa-ra en-net-ta ina šap-šá-qi, "[You kno]w how, in punishment, to extend forgiveness, [To absol]ve sin when in sorrow". The state of preservation is, however, so poor, that the restoration remains uncertain. Cf. von Soden's restoration in his edition pa-sat (1971, 52-3, "meine Sünde ist ge[tilgt]"). However, this reconstruction does not appear to be appropriate for this particular section of the prayer. Here, the sufferer's lament is conveyed through supplication and cries for forgiveness, that is to say, his sin has not been forgiven yet.

¹⁶² Stol 1981, 88-9, no. 141.

¹⁶³ On cases of plene spelling in Akkadian hymns, see Pohl 2022, 26-30, where cases of plene writings in Old Babylonian hymns are discussed, and interpreted as possible indications of musical performance. In the Nabû Prayer under study, however, there are no other attestations of similar spellings (case of overhanging vowels cannot be taken into account, since they are most probably late orthographic conventions and not linguistic features), and a-bi-i could thus be an isolated erroneous writing.

¹⁶⁴ See Streck 1995, in particular 46-7.

¹⁶⁵ See von Soden 1971, 65.

¹⁶⁶ Jakob 2018, 75.

¹⁶⁷ Streck et al. https://altorient.gko.uni-leipzig.de/etymd.html.

¹⁶⁸ Oshima 2011, 148, 162-3.

92/94 The final visible sign appears to be TI, but the subsequent sign is uncertain. The reading proposed by von Soden in his edition, *an-nat* seems unlikely because the traces prior to the break are not consistent with KUR. They could be reconciled with GI.

97 Tentative restoration based on the *Ištar Prayer*, l. 168 ana mi-na im-ku-ú tu-am-mé-šú an-n[a^2 -šú 2], "In what respect has he been negligent? You can disregard his sin". 169

98 I owe the reconstruction of this line to E. Jiménez (private communication). [$mimma(?) \ mala(?)$] i- $\check{s}e$ - $\check{t}u_4$: in his edition, von Soden read [...]-i $\check{s}e$ -tum, but a verbal form in the subjunctive seems more likely, as it would parallel im] $k\hat{u}$ in the preceding line. $\hbar i$ - $^{r}ip^{?1}$ libbi: there are some visible traces after HI that can be reconciled with IP (pace von soden, who read uk- $ki\check{s}$ $\hbar i$ - $[\check{t}i$ -su]). On the illness of $h\bar{\imath}p$ libbi, interpreted by scholars as 'heartbreak' or depression, see Van Buylaere 2020, 204-7 with previous literature.

100/102 [ina b]a-lu-uk: I follow von Soden¹⁷⁰ in this restoration. The preposition ina would be pleonastic, as baluk is another example of the locative-adverbial ending followed by the shortened form of the pronominal suffix. However, such a pleonastic usage of the prepositions ina or ana with the locative case is often attested in Old Babylonian sources.¹⁷¹

103 [tu-še]-ṣa-am-ma: I owe this restoration to T. Mitto (private communication). The space at the beginning of the line suggests that three signs can be restored in the break; the last one, still partially visible, ends with four vertical wedges, and can be ZA. Compare the different interpretation provided by Mayer, who reads a broken UN, and reconstructs [tēnu]n, 172 preterite from enēnu (verbal root i/u), which is entered in AHw as enēnu II 'bestrafen' and III 'sündigen' (AHw I 217b and III 1553b), and in CAD E 194 as enēnu B 'to punish'. Mayer, however, provides a different translation of this verb, namely 'to rage', 'to let one feel one's wrath', taking enēnu as a synonym of other, more common intransitive verbs for 'to be furious' as kamālu, agāgu and šalbāsu. 173 enēnu often occurs in prayers and literary texts, see for example a šuilla prayer to Marduk (Marduk5), l. 41:

¹⁶⁹ Translation, reading and restoration by the Author. Cf. Lambert 1959-60, 52.

¹⁷⁰ Von Soden 1971, 57-8.

¹⁷¹ Mayer 1996, 434; GAG § 66, c.

¹⁷² Mayer 1993, 233 fn. 11.

¹⁷³ Mayer 1993, 232-3 and 2016, 205-6.

šá i-nu-nu-šú DINGIR-šú, "He, whose god has let him feel his wrath", or Ludlul I, l. 41: ištu ūm(i) bēlī i-ni-na-an-ni, "On the day when my lord let me feel his wrath". The substantive ennettu is likely to be derived from this verb (see below the note on l. 103).

The sign before the break in the second hemistich shows two clear horizontal wedges, but the state of preservation of the tablet in this spot is too poor to allow a clear identification of the sign. Possible candidates for restoration are NAP, KIP or GU. I restore *tassakip* on the basis of *Marduk*1, ll. 41/43: *bēlu/Marduk uggukka tassakip aradka*.¹⁷⁴

104 [i- na^2 r] it^2 -ti-ka ta-at-ta-di du-u[\check{s}^2 - ma^2 - ka^2]: the first part of the line is partially broken, but the partly visible sign at the beginning can be rid/t. I suggest restoring $du\check{s}m\hat{a}ka$ at the end of the line, because it would parallel aradka in the immediately preceding line. The resulting couplet (ll. 103-4) then forms a synthetic parallelism, amplifying the previous image, and depicting the angry god who does not forgive the penitent, but keeps rejecting him.

The learned noun $du\check{s}m\hat{u}$ also appears in l. 149. It is attested – together with its feminine form $du\check{s}m\bar{e}tu$ – in Malku I 177-8 as a synonym of the more common ardu. 175

105 a^{7} -ša m^{7}]-rša 4 -niš hal-lu-la-a-a: there is space for one or at most two signs before šá. I restore the rare adverbial form ašamš \bar{a} niš (AHw I 78; CAD A/2 411), 176 meaning 'like a storm', based on the first entry of the Tašrītu Hemerology, where the Hallulāja demon is attested together with the word ašamš \bar{u} tu (AHw I 78; CAD A/2 411-13), a dust storm: U_4 1.KáM ^{im}a -šam-šu-ta ina EDIN la u-ma-u-u-li-ia i-u-ršu, "On the 1st (of u-u-u-li-ia i-har-šu, lest u-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu, lest u-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-u-lu-li-ia i-har-šu-lu-li-ia i-har-su-lu-li-ia i-har-su-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu-lu

The hallulaja demon and *ilu lemnu* occur together also in *Erimhuš* I 213-16 (MSL 17, 19):

```
^{213}máškim_2 ĝi_6lu_2 ḫar-ra-an = <code>hal-lu-la-a-a</code> ^{214}máškim_2 gi_4a-ri-a = šá-niš MIN ^{215}diĝir ki-šu-tag-ga nu-tuku = DINGIR lem-nu ^{216}diĝir [zà]-ĝar-ra = DINGIR šá šu-ut-ti
```

¹⁷⁴ Oshima 2011, 146, 160-1, in which, however, this line is reconstructed differently. I owe this reconstruction to Enrique Jiménez (personal communication), who showed me his forthcoming edition of *Marduk*1.

¹⁷⁵ Hrůša 2010, 313-14; cf. Lambert 1968, 130 and Caplice 1974, 349.

¹⁷⁶ I owe this restoration to Aino H\u00e4tinen, who pointed out the line in the hemerology to me.

¹⁷⁷ Jiménez 2018a, 323, with previous references.

As can be seen from the lexical excerpt cited above, it would be naturally tempting to seek a correspondence in the adverb <code>šanîš</code> attested in <code>Erimḫuš</code> I 214,¹⁷⁸ and speculate that the scribe of the <code>Nabû</code> <code>Prayer</code> could have misinterpreted the lexical source, taking <code>šá-niš</code> not as a gloss, but as part of the name of the <code>ḫallulāyu-demon</code> mentioned in the list. However, the fragmentary nature of our verse prevents a definitive solution and calls for caution. An integration with <code>ašamšāniš</code> or a similar adverb seems more plausible. In any case, the sequence of the two demons, attested one after the other in <code>Erimḫuš</code> as well, could suggest a relationship between the two sources.

While the term hal-lu-la-a-a can generally indicate the name of an insect, 179 the parallel with the lexical text – which clearly lists four demonic beings – proves that in our context hal-lu-la-a-a denotes the $hallul\bar{a}yu$ -demon, a female demon that enters houses and frightens young brides. 180 The spelling A-A found in hal-lu-la-a-a can be used in Akkadian to express the diphthong ay-ayy- or ay-ayy- with any following vowel. 181 In this case, this spelling could express the form $hallul\bar{a}yu$, $hallul\bar{a}ya$ or $hallul\bar{a}yy$.

The last clearly visible sign of the line is TA, hence a second person singular verbal form may be expected. Since *ta-bar-ri* occurs in the succeeding line (106), we assume that a verb meaning 'to look at', 'to inspect' was used in l. 107 too. Nevertheless, the end of the line is too damaged to allow a restoration.

In the interpretation of the line follows a suggestion by Enrique Jiménez (personal communication). If read correctly, the form la-ga-mi is derived from $lag\bar{a}$ 'u 'dirt' (AHw II 527; CAD L 37). For the shift of /'/ to /m/, probably to be interpreted as a hypercorrection, see Jiménez 2017, 279 with previous literature. However, it is difficult to determine whether the final vowel in la-ga-mi is to be taken as an indication of a first-person singular suffix ('my dirt') or represents instead another example of erratic case ending. Considering that the preceding and succeeding verses include only third person singular forms, a first person form seems unlikely here, and la-ga-mi could be understood perhaps as $lag\bar{a}$ 'a, that is, accusative without suffix. Nevertheless, the poor state of preservation of the line prevents from

¹⁷⁸ The use of šá-niš for the adverb šanîš 'again', 'similarly', is attested four times within the preserved manuscripts of *Erimḥuš*, and always introduces the sign MIN, indicating the exact repetition of the Akkadian entry occurring in the preceding line. For the use of šanîš in lexical lists, see Hrůša, Weiershäuser 2020, 9-10.

¹⁷⁹ The term has been translated differently: Landsberger 1934a, 135, takes it as the mole cricket, Ebeling 1937, 69, thinks instead of an ant-lion, and finally Farber 1987, 102-3, suggests translating it as "Scolopender" (cf. also Weiershäuser, Hrůša 2018, 166).

¹⁸⁰ Farber 1987, 103. See also *CAD* H 36 for the attestations of h. as a demon.

¹⁸¹ Mayer 2003, 303; GAG § 22b.

a clear understanding, and the form remains uncertain. The term du-tuš, if correctly read, is derived from dūtu 'manliness', and seems to display what has been defined by Mayer a pseudo-adverbial locative ("Pseudo-Lokativadverbialis"), followed by the apocopated pronominal suffix. Indeed, considering the verb immediately following (tabarri), dūtuš might serve as an accusative. Despite being severely damaged, the verse seems to display the theme of the loss of sexual potency, a common symptom of suffering in the Akkadian prayers. This motif can be found within the corpus under consideration as well, see e.g. the Ištar Prayer edited in the present study (l. 165). A similar passage occurs also in Ludlul, e.g. I, l. 47: [i]n-nétir ba-al-ti du-ú-tú ú-tam-mi[l], "My vigor was taken away, my manliness lost self-confidence". 183

The verbal form *ir-ra-qu* might be an irregular form from $raq\hat{u}$, present N-stem; kab-ta-ta is a poetical variant for the more common kabattu. On these poetic forms displaying anaptyctic vowels, see Jiménez 2017, 77-8 and cf. George 2003, 431-2.

This line belongs to a severely broken set of verses (ll. 104-15) that probably deal with the distress of the supplicant, whose miseries are listed and described in this portion of the prayer (the "Penitential Section", ll. 44-173, see the Introduction to the Nabû Prayer, § 2.2.1). Therefore, I tentatively restore here $[t\bar{t}en\check{s}u(?) it^2-t]a-kir-\check{s}u$, because this kind of suffering, i.e. mental confusion and unrest, is a recurring theme in Akkadian prayers; see for example the $er\check{s}a\hbar u\hat{g}a$ prayer $4R^2$ 19 no. 3 (Maul 1988, 353-7), rev. ll. 15-16:

```
<sup>15</sup>dimma nu-mu-un-dab ní-ĝu<sub>10</sub> nu-mu-uš-tuku-ĝen

<sup>16</sup>ţè-e-mì ul ṣab-ta-ku ra-ma-ni ul ḫa-sa-ku

I didn't keep my reason, I forgot myself. <sup>186</sup>
```

```
182 On the so-called Pseudo-lokative, see Mayer 1996.
```

¹⁸³ Hätinen 2022; cf. Oshima 2014, 80-1, 385.

¹⁸⁴ Von Soden 1971, 65.

¹⁸⁵ Van der Toorn 1985, 65-6.

¹⁸⁶ Maul 1988, 353-4.

¹⁸⁷ Cf. Lambert 1959-60, 52.

the one, whose mind has trembled". The expression that employs the words $t\bar{e}mu$ and $nak\bar{a}ru$ to describe mental derangement occurs very often in medical texts. 189

iii $\dot{s}i$ -in- $\dot{s}\dot{u}$: this word is taken as a hapax by von Soden (1971, 66), who suggests it may be a verbal noun deriving from $san\bar{a}\dot{s}u$, "to insert, to infix". Another possible reading could be $\dot{s}innu$ 'tooth'. Even though this word is fitting for the context, since the line under consideration occurs in a set of verses describing body parts, the expected form would be $\dot{s}inna\dot{s}u/\dot{s}inn\bar{i}su$. The reading remains therefore tentative. For the restoration at the end of the line, cf. a similar attestation in a hymn to Nabû, quoted in CAD P 161: pa-ri-im na- $pi\dot{s}$ - $t\dot{u}$ rag-gu, "who cuts the throat of the wicked". 190

iii $\S u$ -ur-du- \acute{u} : substantive form from the verb $red\^{u}$ Š-stem, 'to flow out'. The meaning of this noun is uncertain, but is probably to be understood as a kind of disease, possibly an overflowing of bodily fluids (see AHw III 1283; CAD Š/III 343).

116 *a-di ma-ti*: this is a conventional expression, often to be found in Akkadian penitential prayers. After the symptoms of suffering have been described, similar formulations are used in rhetorical questions addressed to the deity, who is considered responsible for the penitent's pitiable condition.¹⁹¹

The restoration offered by von Soden $lu^-ruq-qu^{192}$ does not seem to fit the traces particularly well. I follow the suggestion by Foster (personal communication) and read $lu^-rmun^{?1}$ ' $\dot{s}um-\dot{s}\dot{u}^{?1}$, in the sense of 'any evil', although the expected form would be lumnu. The line is in any case so poorly preserved that the interpretation must remain hypothetical. Compare the similar verse in Ludlul II, l. 1, in which the time length of one entire year is mentioned as well: $\dot{s}at-tam-ma$ a-na ba-lat, a-dan-na i-te-eq, "One whole year to the next, the predictable time passed by". 193

 $\,$ The first visible traces after the break at the beginning of the line seem compatible with an oblique wedge followed by the heads

¹⁸⁸ Translation by the Author. Cf. the last edition by Oshima 2011, 232, 246-7.

¹⁸⁹ Arbøll 2019. Cf. Stol 2009.

¹⁹⁰ Cf. the updated transliteration of this hymn available on the *eBL* platform, with further references: https://www.ebl.lmu.de/fragmentarium/BM.42768.

¹⁹¹ Mayer 1976, 106-7. See also the Introduction to the Nabû Prayer, § 2.4.1.

¹⁹² Von Soden 1971, 66.

¹⁹³ See Hätinen 2022, https://doi.org/10.5282/ebl/l/2/2; cf. Oshima 2014, 86-7 and 396; Lambert 1960, 38-9. I am thankful to B. Foster who pointed this verse to me.

of two vertical wedges, and could then be interpreted as a damaged LI. I offer therefore the tentative restoration *līteli*, cf. *CAD* E. 117 sub elû, mna. 1b for some examples of elû with ana.

- 121-2 [$b\bar{t}(?)$ ki^2 - im^2 -tli-ia: I follow Foster (2005, 626) in reconstructing kimtīva. For reasons of space, however, I also restore É at the beginning of the line. The restoration bīt kimtīya 'my family house', is made on the basis of CAD K 377, usage g.
- [(x) A.ŠÀ.GlA ab-bé-e-a: the restoration eael abbēva is tentative. The noun kišubbû 'fallow'. 'uncultivated land' (see AHw II 493: CAD K 463-4) is commonly attested together with eqlu 'field', which here would parallel *bītu* in the previous line.

The sense of this couplet is uncertain: it could constitute a praise to the god, meaning that abundance and wealth are bestowed upon those who worship Nabû.

- sa-an-tak: it stands for the adverb santak 'constantly' (AHw III 1023-24: CAD S 148-9), and ki-na-a-ti can be understood as a substantive for 'truth', derived from kittu. However, von Soden takes ki-naa-ti as an adverbial form from kittu 'certainly' (AHw I 494-5, usage c); cf. CAD K 383-4 under kinātu usage c). 194 In addition, von Soden suggests two possible readings for šá mu šú, namely *ša mūši* 'of the night', or šamūšu 'second string' (AHw II 1160; CAD Š II 364). Both interpretations are doubtful. Furthermore, at the end of the line, von Soden reads $lu\ qi-i-t[u]$ as $l\bar{u}\ q\bar{\imath}tu$ "may be the end". After collation, however, it appears that the damaged sign after I is not UD. Unfortunately, I could not find a satisfying interpretation, and the overall meaning of the line remains difficult to clarify.
- 124 I follow von Soden in considering *lu-ma-a'-i-ru* as derived from (w)âru, D-stem 'to send' (AHw III 1472; CAD A/2 320, mng. 2). The succeeding word seems to be another precative, interpreted here as *lūpira* from *epēru* 'to provide'. The traces at the end of the line are compatible with the reading da-a-a- $n[u^2]$, which I have taken as object of *lūpira*, hence *dayyāna*. While relying on the translation provided by Foster as cited apud Rozzi in 2022b, the meaning of the verse remains uncertain due to the fragmentary state of the context.
- 125 I suggest reading hu-UB-šu₂ as hupšu 'rabble' (AHw I 357; CAD H 241-2), because of the following za-ma-ni 'my enemy', contra von Soden 1971, 67. The term hupšu appears in the synonym list

Rm.354+K.15293, where it is equated to nišū 'people'. ¹⁹⁵ George and Al-Rawi point out that the term *hupšu*, while it can refer to a group of soldiers in a military context, can also have a broader definition as 'a labour gang engaged in public works', as used in a verse of the Neo-Babylonian version of Atramhasis to describe the mutinous gods. 196 In any case, it seems clear that in our text hupšu yields a negative meaning comparabale to that of zāmânû. In fact, although the line is fragmented and difficult to reconstruct, it is highly probable that there is a parallelism between the two hemistichs. Hence, a verb with a meaning akin to that of *ušamaat* could occur in the first half of the line. The beginning of the line is broken, but the visible traces after the break look like 'PA' NI. A form derived from sapānu 'to level', 'to devastate' (AHw III 1025-6; CAD S 158-60) could be a possible candidate for restoration. Cf. CAD S 158 for uses of this verb in similar contexts. For a similar verse see a literary hymn to Marduk (K.8612+), l. 8': ta-kaš-šad lem-nu-ti a-a-bi tu-šam-[aat]. "You seize the wicked and overthrow the foe".197

This set of verses is heavily damaged and does not allow for a complete understanding of the text. It appears that there is the theme of slander, another recurring literary motif in penitential prayers and wisdom texts, where the sufferer laments being slandered or becoming the object of ridicule and scandal, see for example Ludlul I, ll. 57-8: na-an-za-zu tas-li-tu $u\check{s}$ -ta- l -na-ad- l -da-nu UGU-MU | pah-ru-ma ra-man- $\check{s}u$ -nu \check{u} - $\check{s}ah$ -ha- ^{l}zu l nu-ul-la-a-ti, "Courtiers relentlessly relayed vile tattle about me, | They convened and urged themselves on with villainous talk". ¹⁹⁸ The restoration $^{r}\check{s}ah$ - $^{s}\check{s}ah$ - $^{l}[hi]$ fits traces and context. ¹⁹⁹ Compare, furthermore, the following passage in the lexical commentary Murgud from Uruk (SpTU3, 116), in which the lemma $\check{s}ah\check{s}ahhu$ occurs:

¹⁹⁵ See Hrůša 2010, 290-1, who translates the word: "Angehöriger einer niederen Klasse, oft Soldaten"; Incidentally, this list had been erroneously joined to expl. *Malku* II by A. Kilmer, see Hrůša 2010, 288.

¹⁹⁶ George, Al Rawi 1996, 185.

¹⁹⁷ Mitto 2020, 256.

¹⁹⁸ Hätinen 2022; cf. Oshima 2014 80-1 and 404; Lambert 1960, 32-3. For further remarks on this theme as it is found in prayers and in *Ludlul*, see Lenzi 2013, 78 and Noegel 2016, 633-4 with fn. 139, where more examples are provided. That a bad reputation was considered a serious consequence of divine anger or an evil eye cast by an enemy can also be inferred from incantation texts, where the evil tongue was a characteristic feature of the evil workings of witchcraft. On this see Abusch, Schwemer 2011, 6-7; cf. Noegel 2016, 633.

¹⁹⁹ T. Mitto kindly suggested this restoration to me.

```
^{\text{riv}32'}[l\acute{u}]^{\text{rin}} in i m ^{\text{1}} d u _{11} - d u _{11} - sa\rlap{h}-sa\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}-\rlap{h}
```

This lexical passage also includes the equation = mu-nam-gi-ru = a-kil kar-si, upon which is based the restoration in l. 128, ú-nam-aa-ru *kar-s*[*i*[?]]: the sign sI fits the traces at the end of the line, and *karsu* 'calumny' (AHw I 450; CAD K 222-3) would be a possible object for unamgarū. A similar equation is also found in a commentary to Ludlul, BM 41286, which explains the difficult form unaggaranni (Ludlul I, l. 86), through the equation $nugquru = a-k[al\ karsi]^{201}$ It is worth noticing that the structure found in our text, unamagrū kars[ī], if correctly read, constitutes a pleonasmus, i.e. a redundant repetition.²⁰² The rest of the verse is damaged and difficult to understand, but considering the word [... i]špikkīya in the following line (l. 129), bit-re-e here could be an adjective referring to something comparable, perhaps wealth or a vast estate. Regarding this, see Foster's interpretation in the online edition of the text (Foster apud Rozzi 2022b): [... ilš?-pik-ke-e-a is a tentative restoration and other words are also possible, for example kisikkû 'funerary offering' (AHw I 486; CAD K 421). The reading ta-'pul?-ti' (as tapultu 'slander') at the end of the line fits the context better than ta-ab-ti, as offered by von Soden in his edition, ²⁰³ since it provides a parallelism with šahšahhī and unamgarū $kars[\bar{\imath}]$ in the preceding lines.

141-50 us-si-is-ma AN.ZA.[GÀR?]: this section of the hymn is severely damaged, though the context seems to hint at some divinatory practice (see l. 142, in which $b\bar{i}ru$ 'divination' is found). The last visible signs of the line are AN and ZA, indicating perhaps the name of a god – e.g. as von Soden suggests, of $Zaq\bar{i}qu$, who is mentioned further in l. 143. However, there is no divine determinative preceding $Zaq\bar{i}qu$ in l. 143, hence a different reconstruction can be suggested for l. 141. In this regard, I tentatively restore Anza[qar...], following

²⁰⁰ Cf. von Weiher 1988; see the online edition in http://oracc.museum.upenn.edu/dcclt/; cf. https://cdli.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/artifacts/348714.

²⁰¹ Frahm 2011, 106; cf. Oshima 2014, 2016.

²⁰² Lanham 1991, 116, 191.

²⁰³ Van Soden 1971, 67.

²⁰⁴ See von Soden 1971, 68.

Lenzi's online edition of this text.²⁰⁵ The minor deity Anzagar is attested in lexical lists and rituals as a dream god, although seems to be occasionally connected with ghosts and the Underworld as well, thus showing a 'demonic nature'.²⁰⁶

The conventional topos of the supplicant looking for the reasons for his suffering through divination and rituals – invoking several different deities and yet failing to receive an answer – seems to unfold in this part of the text (ll. 140-50). A similar passage occurs in *Ludlul* I, ll. 49-54 or II, ll. 6-9, and in other Akkadian prayers, see for example the *šuilla* prayer to Marduk no. 5, ll. 57-8: *lem-na ha-ṭa-a/* Á.MEŠ-ú-a [ter-r]e-tu-ú-a dal-ha-a-ma ul i-šá-a EŠ.BAR kit-ti, "My signs are bad (and) faulty, the omina are so confused, that they have no firm verdict". 209

142 za-qi-qu: the term $zaq\bar{q}u/ziq\bar{q}u$ has various nuances. ²¹⁰ It derives from the verb $z\hat{a}qu$ 'to blow', and is found in lexical lists equated to words for wind (see $CAD \ Z \ 58$, lex. sec.).

Thus, it can denote a wind or a breeze. It can also refer to a category of wind demons or ghosts, who are said to dwell in the underworld, and it is also the name of the Mesopotamian Dream God. Moreover, $zaq\bar{\imath}qu/ziq\bar{\imath}qu$ is often found in passages that describe a communication between deities and men.²¹¹ In this regard, Butler, following Couprie,²¹² suggests that the term under discussion might also indicate a ritual expert involved in the incubation of dreams. She notes the occurrence of $zaq\bar{\imath}qu$ in Ludlul II, l. 8 $za-q\hat{\imath}-qu$ a-bal-ma ul u-pat-ti uz-ni, "I prayed to the $Zaq\bar{\imath}qu$, but he did not instruct me!". She interprets the word as parallel to $s\bar{a}$ 'ilu 'dream-interpreter', in the line immediately preceding (Ludlul II, l. 7).²¹³

Within the present context, however, $zaq\bar{\imath}qu$ is probably to be taken as the god of dreams, as it seems to parallel Amna in the previous line.²¹⁴

- 205 Lenzi 2021 at http://akkpm.org/P394371.html.
- 206 On the various attestations of the deity Anzagar, see Butler 1998, 83-5.
- 207 Cf. Mayer 1976, 104-6; Van der Toorn 1985, 60-1; 64-5.
- 208 Hätinen 2022; Oshima 2014, 80-1; 86-7.
- 209 Mayer 1993, 313-37.
- 210 Butler 1998, 79-81. Cf. Jacobsen 1989, 267-76.
- 211 See Oppenheim 1956, 234 and Oshima 2014, 229. See also CAD Z 60.
- 212 Couprie 1960, 86
- 213 Butler 1998, 81. Cf. Oshima 2014, 86-7, 396.
- 214 Butler 1998, 82.

zu-un-zu-na: the noun zunzunu is only attested in lexical lists, and indicates a type of locust, see AHw III 1538; CAD Z 163; cf. Hg A II 269: $BURU_5$ tur-tur = zir-zir-rù = zu-un-zu-rnu¹ (MSL 8/2, 45, 269). Even though this section of the prayer is too fragmentary to allow a clear understanding of the context, zunzunu seems to occur within a list of divine names (see ll. 142-5). Considering the mention of the hallulāyu-demon in l. 107, zunzunu might indicate here some sort of demon. The reading zunzunna[tu] 'shoe', offered by von Soden, appears less convincing within our context.²¹⁵

 $^{\rm d}$ NIN.GÙN.NU: this is one of the names of Inanna/Ištar. It is also attested in several god lists, where also the variant $^{\rm d}$ NIN.IGI.GÙN can be found. $^{\rm 216}$

151-2 Lines restored on the basis of Marduk1, ll. 129-30: iḫ-ti-dam-ma mar-ṣa-tuš i-[ba]k-ki-ka | kab-ta-as-su na-an-gul-lat-ma iḫ-[ta]m-maṭ-ka, "He muttered as he wailed his woe to you, with his insides throbbing, he burns for you". 217 For a similar passage see also another composition belonging to the Great Hymns and Prayers, namely the Anūna Prayer, l. 83: iḫ-ti-dam-ma al-ka-ta-šu i-b[a-ak-ki-ki-im], "He has spoken forth, tearfully telling [you] his way of life". 218 iḫ-ti-dam-ma: I follow Lambert's reading and take this form as derived from ḫi'ādu 'to speak', 'to utter' (AHw I 342; CAD Ḥ 128a), contra von Soden and Oshima who read iḫ-ti-ṭam-ma, from ḫiāṭu 'to watch', 'to inspect' (AHw I 342; CAD Ḥ 159-62). While verbs meaning

'to see' (e.g. $am\bar{a}ru$) do indeed occasionally occur with the substantive $maru\check{s}tu$ 'trouble', 'hardship' (cf. AHw II 618; CAD M/1 317-19) in the sense of 'experiencing trouble', ²¹⁹ the topos of the supplicant confessing his sins and painfully describing his suffering is nevertheless a typical feature of Akkadian prayers, ²²⁰ see for example Marduk1, l. 133-4:

²¹⁵ See von Soden 1971, 57 and 68.

²¹⁶ For a more detailed discussion see Cavigneaux, Krebernik 1998.

²¹⁷ Translation by the Author. Cf. Lambert 1959-60, 58 and Oshima 2011, 151, 164-5, 184-5.

²¹⁸ Lambert 1989, 326 and 330.

²¹⁹ Cf. Oshima 2011, 184.

²²⁰ The description of the symptoms belongs to the "Penitential Section", an element which is identified as "die Klage" by Mayer 1976, 35-7 and 67-118, following the structure of Akkadian prayers given by von Soden 1957-71, 161, \S 4. See the Introduction of this prayer, \S 2.4.1. For the confession of sins as a recurring topos, used in order to appease an angry god, see Oshima 2011, 16-17, Lenzi et. al. 2011, 42-5.

```
    133 ki-i lal-la-ri qu-bé-e ú-šá-aṣ-rap
    134 du-lup-šú i-qab-bi ina te-ni-ni
    133 Like a professional mourner he utters bitter cries,
    134 He speaks his lack of sleep in his prayer.
```

This motif is also found in several wisdom texts, as in the Babylonian dialogue *Man and his God*, ll. 10-11:

```
<sup>10</sup>be-li-iš-šu du-ul-li iḫ-bu-tu i-ma-an-nu

<sup>11</sup>in-ḫi i-na-ḫu-<sup>r</sup>ú¹ i-pa-aš-ša-ar eṭ-lu-u[m]

<sup>10</sup>He recounts to his lord the toil he has gone through,

<sup>11</sup>The man explains the suffering he is enduring.<sup>222</sup>
```

The verb $hi'\bar{a}du$ is attested in lexical sources, together with its derivative hittu (a kind of utterance, perhaps 'riddle', ²²³ CAD H 208, under hittu C, lex. sec.), see Izi V, 30'-32' (MSL 13, 150):

```
^{30}i-bi-lu = hi-it-tu ^{31}i-bi-lu = te-el-tu ^{32}i-bi-lu du _{11}-ga = hi-a-du ^{30}i-bi-lu = utterance ^{31}i-bi-lu = saying ^{32}i-bi-lu du _{11}-ga = to speak
```

(Cf. also Nabnītu V 6-12: i-bi-lu = hi-it-ti, MSL 16, 95).

nangullat: the stative nangul is derived from the verb nagālu (see AHw II 709; CAD N/I 107) whose exact meaning remains doubtful, and which is attested mostly in the stative. Meissner interprets it as meaning 'to glow', 'to scintillate', 'to be bright', basing his translation on a variant gloss nen-gu-la = nin-bu-ṭa (see also CAD N/I 107, which leaves nagālu untranslated).²²⁴ The verb is attested in the stative G-stem as referring to stars, hair of animals and, in one uncertain case,²²⁵ to human skin (see CAD N/I 107 for the attestations). The meaning 'to be bright' or 'to scintillate' can indeed easily be attributed to celestial bodies, and perhaps to the shimmering quality of hair or skin in a certain light. Furthermore, na-gi-il 'gleaming', is used once in the divination series Šumma ālu to describe the canopy

```
221 Oshima 2011, 152, 164-5.
222 Lambert 1987, 190.
223 Alster 1996, 7.
224 Meissner 1932, 47-8.
225 The attestation seems to occur in the Babylonian Love Lyrics (Lambert 1975, 105): maš-ku nag-lat ki-ma di-q[a-ri], which CAD leaves untranslated. Lambert, however, read naq-lat and translates the line: "Her skin was burnt, like a pot".
```

of a house, as opposite to $e t\hat{u}$ 'dark'.²²⁶ The translation suggested by Meissner, however, does not fit all the occurrences. In fact, the stative N-stem of $nag\bar{a}lu$ also occurs as referred to libbu 'heart' and kabattu 'liver' (as in the text under consideration). $nag\bar{a}lu$ seems also to be used in association with grieving and mourning, as in the $di\hat{g}ir\check{s}adabba$ prayer no. 11, l. 14: na-an-gu-la-ku-ma a-bak-ki ξar - $pi\check{s}$, translated by Jaques in her edition as "Je suis enfiévré et pleure amèrement" (cf. CAD N/1 107, usage b, 2'-3'), 227 or in an Old Babylonian love poem, rev. l. 10: na-an-gu-la at-ku-la ku-at-iim sa-ap-da, which Lambert translates as: "Women are in anguish, mourning and lamentation for you", yet leaving the form $nangul\bar{a}$ unexplained. 228 Moreover, in the literary text "the Fable of the Fox", the verbal adjective ug-gulu, derived from $nag\bar{a}lu$, describes a reed: [am]-mi- ^{t}ni 1 a-na ki-rim a-pi ug-gu-li ta-za-ar-ru nab-li. Lambert translates this passage: "Why do you spread flame to the glowing reed...?". 229

Contrary to previous translations, which evoke a supposed sense of 'burning' or 'glowing', I suggest a second possible meaning of *nagālu* N-stem, namely that of 'to tremble', 'to shake', or 'to throb', which might be applied to the human heart - or to the insides -, to someone sobbing in grief, or to a reed shaking in the wind. This meaning would parallel that of another Akkadian verb. (w)amālu, translated in the dictionaries as 'to be nervous', 'to be agitated' - if applied to kabattu -, but 'to scintillate' if denoting celestial bodies (see AHw III 1459; CAD U/W 401). The attestations of (w)amālu in the lexical lists, nevertheless, clarify the primary meaning of this verb: AnŠ 269-73 enters (w)amālu in D-stem among various synonyms for raī'bu, a kind of trembling, derived from the verb ra'ābu 'to tremble' (AHw II 444, 'Zittern-Krankheit'; CAD R 81: "Probably a disease characterised by trembling"). Hence, the equation $ummulu = ra'\bar{\imath}bu$ in AnŠ 270 (LT-BA II, 2 rev. I 56 and LTBA II, 3 rev. 6')230 associates (w)amālu with a flickering movement, which may metaphorically describe an emotional state of agitation, as well as the glint of stars. It is therefore possible to hypothesise a similar double meaning also for *nagālu*, which would mean both 'to twitch' or 'to tremble', and 'to scintillate'.231

²²⁶ For this attestation see Freedman 1998, 110-11, l. 14.

²²⁷ Jagues 2015, 67 and 87.

²²⁸ Lambert 1966, 55-6.

Lambert 1960, 195 rev. l. 15. Lambert explains this form as a case of dropping of the n, which occurs also in other verbs I-n. See Lambert 1960, 335.

²³⁰ Hrůša, Weiershäuser 2020, 225 and 245.

²³¹ Incidentally, the same double nuance is found in Latin in the verb $mic\bar{a}re$ 'to quiver, dart, flicker', see OLD s.v. $mic\bar{o}$, 1108, mng. 1, usage b: "(of the heart, pulse) to throb, palpitate", and mng. 2: "(of a heavenly body, fire, etc.) to emit light suddenly or spasmodically, flash, gleam"; and in the Greek noun, LSJ s.v. $\pi\alpha\lambda\mu\delta\varsigma$, 1294: "a quivering

153 $u ildes - sar-ri-ip ka-[bat^2-ta^2-su^2]$: for other attestations of sarapu with sapattu see Saturation CAD S 102, mng. 2.

154 di-ma-šú ik-ta- $[li^2]$: on the use of the verb $kal\hat{u}$ for 'to stop crying', see Gabbay 2004, 181.

For the restoration ti- $me[\check{s},$ understood here as vocative of the adjective $tem\bar{e}\check{s}u$ 'forgiving', also occurring at ll. 92/94, I am grateful to E. Jiménez (personal communication).

157 tu-šá-ma za-ma-nu-ru-i: the adverb tusāma is the lengthened form of tusa, the function of which was to express irrealis. tusa appears moreover to convey a nuance of subjectivity, and it is indeed in two instances attested together with adverbial forms as ina tasimatimaim0 (as if1) in my judgement', or ana im1im2 'to his eyes'. im2 It is difficult to ascertain its etymology, but it could be related to the noun im2 to hostile im3. For similar passages in literary texts, cf. for example im3 im4 im5 im6 im7 im8 im8 im9 im

164 Cf. l. 124 of the Šamaš Hymn: šu-ut lum-nu i-pu-šú NUMUN-šú-nu $u[l da^2-ri^2]$, "The seed of evildoers sha[ll not abide]".²³⁶

173 For a very similar phraseology see *Marduk*1, l. 61: *ru-um-me il-lu-ur-ta-šú pu-ţur ma-ak-[si-šú]*, "Release his manacles, loosen his bonds", ²³⁷ and l. 155 *ħi-pi qu-un-nab-ra-šú il-lu-ur-ta-šú pu-ţur ma-ak-si-šú*, "Break his fetters, his bonds, loosen his manacles". ²³⁸ The representation of the sufferer as constricted or imprisoned is a well-known image in the Mesopotamian prayers, see for example the *diĝiršadabba* prayer no. 9, ll. 15'-16': *bi-ti ana É dim-ma-tì i-tur-ma i-li ana-ku ka-ma-ak-šu ina libbi-šú tu-še-ši-b[a-an-ni]*, "My house has become a house of weeping, my God, I am its prisoner, you made [me]

motion", cf. usage 2: (of natural phenomena) "vibration, rapid movement", and usage 3: "internal vibration of bodies".

- 232 Krebernik, Streck 2001, 67.
- 233 Krebernik, Streck 2001, 68.
- 234 After Krebernik, Streck 2001, 72; cf. Hätinen 2022; Oshima 2014, 82-3.
- 235 After Krebernik, Streck 2001, 71; cf. Goodnick Westenholz 1997a, 70, l. 59.
- 236 Lambert 1960, 134; cf. Rozzi 2021a; translation by Foster apud Rozzi 2021a.
- 237 Oshima 2011, 147, 160-1.
- 238 Traslation by the Author. See Oshima 2011, 154, 166-7.

dwell in it",²³⁹ and *Ludlul* II, 1. 96: *a-na ki-suk-ki-ia i-tu-ra bi-tu*, "Home turned into my jailhouse".²⁴⁰

iti-sah-lala-a: CAD M/I interprets this verbal form as a present Š-stem from $al\bar{a}lu/hal\bar{a}lu$ 'to suspend', 'to hang'. The expected form, however, would be $u\check{s}ahlal$; the final a-vowel might be explained as a ventive suffix, but the use of the sign LA before LAL would still pose a problem. It is more likely that the verb derives from $hel\hat{u}$ 'to be bright', as reads l. 87 in Marduk2 (Enrique Jiménez, private communication): 241 $a\check{s}ar$ anqullu ishupu $tu\check{s}ahla$ uma, "In the place shrouded in haze, you clear the day". On the normalisation of the last word as $man\bar{t}tu$, instead of the $m\bar{a}nitu$, as defined in the dictionaries, see Mayer 1992b, 39-40. The verb in our line can thus be interpreted as $u\check{s}ahl\hat{a}$, and the sign LA before LAL is to be taken as a gloss. This line parallels the preceding one, describing the god through a metaphor: Nabû is first compared to a wall which protects against the cold of winter (l. 174), and then to a gentle breeze which alleviates the heat of summer. 242

176-7 še-e-ru re-ṣu-ti-ia šur-šú-ru ḫi-in-zur-ru meṣ-ḥe-ri ši-d[i-tú²] | mar-tú ma-r[i]: it is difficult to ascertain the meaning of this line, as it lacks verbal forms and, moreover, shows rare words borrowed from lexical lists. šur-šú-ru ḫi-in-zur-ru: the word šuršurru is attested exclusively in Malku II 128B, as a variant of šuršašmu/šuršašnu, and equated with nurmû 'pomegranate' (AHw II 804-5; CAD N/II 345-7, mng. 2);²⁴³ the word ḫinzūru – derived from the Hurrian ḫinzuri 'apple' – is also entered in Malku II 129²⁴⁴ and esp. Malku III 210 as a synonym of ḫašḫūru 'apple-tree/apple' (see AHw I 333-4; CAD Ḥ 139-40; cf. GLH 106).²⁴⁵ It is worth noticing that the two words šuršurru and ḫinzūru occur in immediate succession in Malku, as well as in our text. Furthermore, the noun marratu 'the bitter one' (following AHw II 612 "Das Bittere", a name of a date-palm) is found in the following line of Malku (Malku II 130),²⁴⁶ and alamittu 'a palm-tree' (see AHw I 35;

- 239 Jaques 2015, 53-60.
- 240 See Hätinen 2022; cf. Oshima 2014, 90-1, 408 and Lambert 1960, 44-5.
- 241 I am thankful to E. Jiménez who showed me his forthcoming edition of the text.
- 242 Incidentally, cf. Isa. 25:4: "You have been a refuge for the poor, a refuge for the needy in their distress, a shelter from the storm and a shade from the heat", translation taken by the New International Version 2011.
- 243 Hrůša 2010, 60-1, 341.
- 244 Hrůša 2010, 60-1, 341.
- **245** Hrůša 2010, 182-3, 452.
- 246 Hrůša 2010, 60-1, 341

CAD A/I 333) follows in the next line (Malku II 131). 247 L. 179 of the Nabû Prayer reads: a-la-mit-tu₄ ú-ḥe-en-šá da-da-riš ma-a-[ar]. Hence, the vocabulary in ll. 176-7 and 179 of the Nabû Prayer seems to be informed by Malku II 128-31, and could indicate a conscious use of the lexical list by the author of the prayer, or viceversa, could suggest that the prayer was used as a source of inspiration for this lexical passage. 248

mes-he-ri $ši-d[i-t\acute{u}^?]$: I take mes-he-ri as the elsewhere unattested word mesheru, probably a nominal form derived from the root shr 'small'. It could be a literary noun indicating a boy or a young man (cf. the well attested mesheris 'in childhood' and $mesher\bar{u}tu$ 'childhood', see AHw II 648; CAD M/II 36).

Both these poetical nouns form a parallelismus with $m\bar{a}rtu$ and $m\bar{a}ru$ occurring in the same line.

L. 176 of the *Nabû Prayer* lists words and word-pairs referring to the god and his work.²⁵⁰ The initial expression še-e-ru re-su-ti-ia 'my morning aid', is probably to be taken as a metaphor for Nabû, whose compassion is compared to daylight (cf. l. 184, see also the Introduction of the Nabû Prayer, § 2.3). Moreover, the mention of fruits and fruit-producing trees evokes images connected with fertility: the 'apple', or the pomegranate - Akkadian hinzūru (in our text), or its synonym hašhūru - is often used in figurative language in Akkadian poetry as a symbol of sexual potency.²⁵¹ The common term for 'fruit' in Akkadian, inbu, can indeed be interpreted as 'offspring', 'child' (see AHw I 381, mng. 6; CAD I 144-7, mng. 2). In this line, the metaphorical expressions are further clarified through the chiastic combination of word-pairs: mesheru šīd[ītu(?)] | mārtu māru "the boy and the girl, the daughter and the son". The resulting synonymous parallelism amplifies the same thought, namely that of fertility and progeny: the god is the one who can ensure descendants for the pious worshipper.

²⁴⁷ Hrůša 2010, 60-1, 341

²⁴⁸ A comparable 'vertical' quotation from *Malku* can be found in the commentary on the *Babylonian Theodicy*, see BM 66882+, l. 16, cf. Jiménez 2018b, 126 with fn. 11.

²⁴⁹ Hrůša, Weiershäuser 2020, 221, Cf. LTBA II, 2, obv. iii 198.

²⁵⁰ The line can of course be understood also as a nominal phrase, so Foster *apud* Rozzi 2022b: "The dawning of my rescue was a (luscious) pomegranate, an apple".

²⁵¹ Lambert 1987, 27-31. The translation 'apple' for *hašḫuru* and its Sumerian antecedent hašḫur is uncertain. For a detailed discussion see Lambert 1987, 30-1.

178 Cf. the verse ' \acute{a} r'- $\acute{k}a$ -ti $\emph{b}[\emph{u-un}]$ - $\emph{na-ka}$ \acute{u} - \emph{d} a \acute{s} - \acute{s} a \emph{p} [$\emph{lal-la-riš}$ (?)], "In the future I will sweeten your f[a]ce [like honey]", occurring in a wisdom monologue recently published in Jiménez 2022, 82-3, l. 49'.

Considering the space on the tablet, at most one sign is missing at the end of the line, broken at the end of the second hemistich. The signs AN NA T[U are clearly visible but challenging to reconcile with a satisfactory reading. The only word that could be suitable for the current context is antu, meaning 'ear of barley' (CAD A/1 146 A). However, it is difficult to explain the form that occurs in our text, perhaps a plural, although the plural form we would expect is $an\bar{a}tu(m)$, and it remains unattested elsewhere. I tentatively restore $an-na-t[u(-\check{s}\check{u})]$, '[(its)] ear[s]'. Concerning the first hemistich, if ŠE-am is really a nominative, the present line seems to display a case of casus pendens (GAG§ 183a), as can be observed in ll. 185-6 in the same text (see infra).

182 *i-kuš-šu*: I interpret this verb as a present G-stem derived from $k\hat{a}su$ 'to delay' (AHw I 463; CAD K 394-5 under $k\hat{a}su$ A). The final u-vowel should possibly be considered a ventive in -u. The use of the ventive form in -u instead of in -a(m) is a consequence of the confusion between u and a progressively spreading in first-millennium Akkadian.

 ${}^{r}\dot{u}^{1}$ -[tar^{2}]: I suggest this restoration following Foster's translation, ²⁵⁵ contra von Soden, who restores instead ${}^{r}\dot{u}^{1}$ -[ma- $a\dot{t}$ - $\dot{t}a$], which is unlikely, especially since there is space for one sign, two at most, at the end of the line. The meaning of this verse is that there is a right

- 252 See von Soden 1971, 49. Cf. chapter 2.
- 253 Cf. von Soden 1971, 66, and AkkSyll.4, 34, no. 179
- 254 See Schwemer 2017, 77 for other examples of ventives in -u.
- 255 Foster 2005, 624.

time for everything, and grain too needs time to mature to provide a good harvest. For more on this wisdom thought, see the Introduction to the *Nabû Prayer*, § 2.3 and chapter 1, § 1.2.5.

The space in the break suggests that two signs are missing at the end of the line. Due to context, one might hypothesise the line to mean that something abhorrent to the gods – such as a bodily discharge – is indeed common among men. The physical imperfection of human beings would be then compared with and opposed to the perfection of deities. This line seems to suggest the same idea found in the *Theodicy* (Il. 276-80)²⁵⁶ and in other Mesopotamian literary and religious compositions, namely that men are impure and sinful by nature.²⁵⁷ For similar wisdom themes in the *Great Hymns and Prayers*, cf. also chapter 1, § 1.2.5.

Nevertheless, another interpretation could be that the discharge of the male genitals, abhorred by the gods, is, on the contrary, positive for men, because it represents semen. In this sense, mu-ú-su could metaphorically mean 'semen'. For this understanding, see the translation by Foster apud Rozzi 2022b: "If the putrid flux of the manly gland is abhorrent to all the gods, it is the [good seed sown] for the people". However, the exact phrase mūsu ša libbi urullātīšu, whose technical aspect seems to contrast with the poetic context, is found in lexical sources, where it seemingly indicates an infection: In the commentary $mur-qud = imr\hat{u} = ballu$ (see HgD to Ura = hubullu XV, 36' (75) in Weiershäuser-Hrůša 2018, 214; cf. MSL 9, 77-89, MS B_o)²⁵⁸ and in Uruanna III 171; MSL 10, 70, 32 (but cf. also Uruanna III 161 in Weiershäuser, Hrůša 2020, 37-3: $^{\text{na4}}$ mu-su NíTA = $^{\text{na4}}$ mu-su $\check{s}\acute{a}$ ŠÀ GÌŠ). Moreover, it occurs in a ritual against depression, see Abusch, Schwemer 2011, 153, l. 28 and 158, cf. also CAD U 270-1 sub urullātu. The lexical parallels might corroborate the idea that the formulation mūsu ša libbi urullātīšu in our text refers to an illness and is not a metaphor for the human semen, although the damaged state of the line, and the difficult philosophical meaning of this portion of the text, do not allow for a conclusive answer. Compare chapter 4, § 4.3.1 for the intertextuality between the Nabû Prayer and the lexical lists. On the word mūsu as 'bilharzia' or na4mūsu as 'calculus', see Kinnier Wilson 1968, 245-6 and Herrero 1975, 49-50; cf. also Steinert 2013 fn. 11.

²⁵⁶ See Lambert 1960, 88-9. Cf. Oshima 2014, 164-5 and 462.

²⁵⁷ For this interpretation see also Foster 2005, 625.

²⁵⁸ Transliteration available on the *eBL* platform: https://www.*ebl*.lmu.de/fragmentarium/K.13602.

ek-let nam-rat: this is a recurring apodosis in omens. It is explained in a commentary to Šumma ālu 22-3 (BM 129092, l. 17) as a reference to a 'humble man' (a-na muš-ke-ni qa-bi), who supposedly rises in society. It appears that the author of the Nabû Prayer knew this idiomatic phrase and used it for arguing that a negative beginning has a positive outcome. The author reinforces this idea in the second half of the line: še-zu-zu ta-a-[a-ar], "the raging one will be merciful". The concept of the angry god who eventually relents is often found in the apodoses of omens, cf. for example the following Old Babylonian omen:

DIŠ LÚ *it-ti ra-ma-ni-šu-ma qú-lum i[m]-qú-us-sú e-ze-ez i-lim ta-ia-ar-tam i-šu*If silence falls upon a man without any reason, the wrath of deity will change into mercy.²⁶⁰

This theme finds numerous parallels in the wisdom genre, see above the Introduction to the *Nabû Prayer*, § 1.2.3.

185-6 *CAD* A/1 169 considers $za\text{-}ra\text{-}\check{s}\check{u}$ as the subject of the sentence and ma-ru the object, thus translating l. 184: "The father gives a special blessing to a humble, obedient son", an interpretation followed by Seux (1976, 184). On the contrary, von Soden takes ma-ru as nominative case and $za\text{-}ra\text{-}\check{s}\check{u}$ as accusative, therefore inverting the subject and the object. He translates: "Der demütige, disziplinierte Sohn segnet besonders noch seinen Erzeuger; der nicht demütige, disziplinlose Sohn verflucht [...] [seinen Vater]". ²⁶¹ Von Soden's translation better agrees with the grammar, though it seems less convincing. I take ma-ru in both lines as a nominative absolute ($casus\ pendens$, cf. GAG§ 183a) and understand ll. 185-6 as follows: "(As for) the humble, obedient son: his father giv[es] (him) a special blessing | (As for) the disobedient, undisciplined son: his b[egetter] curses (him) until he changes". $a\text{-}di\ e\text{-}n\acute{e}\text{-}s\acute{u}$: probably derived from $en\hat{u}$ 'to change', see von Soden 1971, 70.

a-ha-mu: it stands for ahammu, a variant of the adverb ahamma (AHw I 18; CAD A/1 168-9), see Malku III 90, which equates ahamma to what appears to be a derivative from the adverb (w)arku 'afterwards' (AHw III 1470): a-ha-am-mu = ar-k[a]. ²⁶²

²⁵⁹ See the "Commentary on Ālu 22-3 (CCP 3.5.22.A.a)" edited online by Jiménez 2015, at https://ccp.yale.edu/P461301.

²⁶⁰ Köcher, Oppenheim 1957-58, 64, ll. 29-30. Cf. also Rahmouni, Lev 2016, 239.

²⁶¹ Von Soden 1971, 59.

²⁶² Hrůša 2010, 80-1, 233, 365.

191 *e-né-es-su*: von Soden²⁶³ takes this noun as derived from the feminine form of enû 'substitute' (AHw I 221; CAD E 180). The word would denote here someone to suffer in place of the supplicant. This interpretation is followed by Foster²⁶⁴ and Seux.²⁶⁵ However, I read this word as ennētu (var. ennettu, elinnintu, see AHw I 219: CAD E 169-70). This substantive derives from the verb enenu 'to rage'. 'to let one feel one's rage' (l. 103 within this text), cf. Mayer 2016, 205-6, who translates *ennētu* with "gegen jem. gerichtete(r) Zorn/ Zornesäußerung". The broader meaning provided by CAD, namely 'divine punishment', also seems possible (see CAD E 169b and 170a): ennettu/ennētu is a nominal form of the PARRĀST pattern (cf. Mayer 2016, 206 and GAG § 55 o N.). For a similar formulation see, for example, the kiutu prayer Bīt rimki IV, l. 30 (Baragli 2022a, 494): šul-a-lum-bi hé-du-du nam-tag-ga-bi hé-zi-zi|*en-ne*es-su lip-pa-ti-ir a-ra-an-šu li-in-na-sih, "May his punishment be loosened, may his sin be eradicated".

205/207 The restoration is based on *Marduk*1, l. 206 *ri-ši-šú re-*[*e*]-*mu nak-ru-ţu a-na* ìR-*ka*, "Have mercy on him, (have) pity on your servant!". ²⁶⁶ The form *nakruţu* is to be analysed as an infinitive N-stem from *karāţu* 'to have mercy' (*AHw* I 448; *CAD* N/I 195-6 sub *nakruţu*. Cf. also Mayer 2017a, 139). ²⁶⁷ *nakruţu* is listed in *Malku* and equated, together with *tirānu*, with *rēmu* 'compassion', see *Malku* V 80: *nak-ru-ţu* = *re-*[e]-*mu*. ²⁶⁸ Cf. also the word group in *Erimḫuš*, ll. 12-14: *na-ás-ḫu-ru*, *ti-ra-nu*, *e-pe-qu* (MSL 17, 81). ²⁶⁹ *nak-ru-ţu* is also attested in the Commentary to *Theodicy*, l. 17: *na-ak-*[ru]-[ţu: x x x (x x)]: x x x (x x)]: MIN: *na-as-ḫu-ri*, "'Mer[cy' means [...], *ditto* means 'favour'". ²⁷⁰

The form $[re]^{-r}e^{1}-mi$ in the *Nabû Prayer* is probably a spelling for $r\bar{e}m$ with an overhanging vowel. The writing *nak-ru-ut* seems to be a

```
263 Von Soden 1971, 70.
```

²⁶⁴ Foster 2005, 625.

²⁶⁵ Seux 1976, 184.

²⁶⁶ Oshima 2011, 157, 170-1.

²⁶⁷ The conjugated forms of the verb $kar\bar{a}tu$ are rarely attested, and occasionally written as *qrt. Indeed the two variant radicals *qrt and *krt tend to oscillate, sometimes alternating within the same manuscript. On this see Jiménez, Adalı 2015, 178-9 who postulate a root *qrt, on the basis of an attestation of this verb in a line of the "Prostration Hemerology", which has ig-GAR-rit. The writing GAR is used more often to express the value qar than kar.

²⁶⁸ Hrůša 2010, 114-15, 401.

²⁶⁹ Hrůša 2010, 255.

²⁷⁰ Jiménez 2017b, at https://ccp.yale.edu/P404917.

defective spelling for the accusative singular *nakruṭa*, with the dropping of the final short vowel.²⁷¹

208-9 The couplet belongs to the final section of the prayer, devoted to petitions (see the Introduction to the $Nab\hat{u}$ Prayer, § 2.4.1). Within this line, a request is made that supplicant's financial losses be recovered. I tentatively restore $[\hbar a l^2 - q a^2] - a - t u_4$, from $\hbar a l q u$. For the use of this adjective in similar contexts see AHw I 312, usage 4; CAD H 50, usage 3).

217 $[k\bar{l}ma(?) qi^2]$ - $\dot{s}\dot{a}$ -a-ti: restoration based on Marduk2, l. 25": ki-ma qi- $\dot{s}\dot{a}$ -a-ti ik-ri-b[u-u la-ba]n ap-pu, "Like donations, pray[ers and the gestulre of respect". 272

at-nu-u \check{s} : the substantive atnu is a learned word for 'prayer', only attested in lexical lists: Malku V 66^{273} and An VIII 76 share the same equation: at-nu = ik-ri-bu, cf. also An IX 90: [at]-nu = $\check{s}u$ -ke-nu. See CAD A/2 499 lex. sec.

The expression *iṣ/s-rat-su-nu* is uncertain. The meaning 'their plan' (from *iṣratu* 'plan, design' *AHw* I 389; *CAD* I 206) yields little sense within the present context.

In l. 1 of tablet VII of $En\bar{u}ma$ $eli\check{s}$, is/sratu occurs in parallelismus with $m\bar{e}re\check{s}tu$ 'cultivation' (AHw II 645; CAD M/2, 24-5): dasar-re $\check{s}\acute{a}$ -rik $m\acute{e}$ -re \check{s} -ti $\check{s}\acute{a}$ IS-ra-ta \acute{u} -kin-nu, "Asarre, the giver of arable land who established plough-land". Moreover, on the fragment K.13866, l. 6', identified as a commentary to $En\bar{u}ma$ $eli\check{s}$, is/sratu is explained as a synonym of tamirtu '(arable) land' (AHw III 1341; CAD T 119-22): IS-ra-tu₄ = ta-mir-t \acute{u} (this equation is also mentioned in AHw I 389). The same word is found in the E-saqil commentary:

```
{}^{9}[é-sa<sub>4</sub>-ki].'il¹ bītu na-bu-ú nap-ḫar is-ra-a-t[i] {}^{10}sa<sub>4</sub> na-bu-ú ki-il nap-ḫa-ru ša IS-ra-a-ti {}^{9}E-sagil House which calls into being all meadows(?) {}^{10}[sa] = call ki-il = all ša = meadows(?){}^{276}
```

- 272 Translation by the Author. Cf. Oshima 2011, 238, 250-1.
- 273 Hrůša 2010, 114-15, 255, 400.
- 274 Lambert 2013, 124-5.
- 275 Lambert 2013, 482 (pl. 38).
- **276** See George 1992, 80-1 and 387. According to George, the equation with the Sumerian ša is otherwise unattested.

²⁷¹ If, however, one follows the reconstruction offered by Jiménez, Adalı 2015, 178-9 (see fn. 275 above) and consider a root *qrt instead of *krt for this verb, the present form could be read as naq-ru- $t\acute{u}$, thus presenting no drop of final vowel, but a -u ending for the accusative case (cf. Jiménez, Adalı 2015, 178).

is/sratu also appears in the Fable of Nissaba and the Wheat in broken context, l. 7: Is-ra-tu₄ u [...]. 277

The meaning 'cultivated land', however, does not fit our context. The reading remains therefore uncertain, though the *parallellismus* with *gimrassunu* in the previous line suggests understanding *iṣrassunu* 'their plan', as 'their totality' *vel sim*.

²²⁴ 'lil'¹-lip-ka: von Soden restored here 'qu²¹-lup-ka.²¹8 The substantive qulpu is listed in Hh XXIV 144 as a type of barley: [še DìM.BAR²] = qul-pu.²¹³ According to Deller, however,²80 the word in the lexical sources should be read as zir-pu, and the lemma qulpu as 'a type of barley' (so CAD I/J 151; Q 301, š/1 248; cf. also AHw I 219, 927 and 1148) should be in fact deleted. I follow E. Jiménez (personal communication) and restore lillipka, precative from elēpu 'may he increase [...] for you'.

The restoration l[u]d-lul-ka offered by Oshima cannot be not reconciled with the extant traces, as the preserved sign before LUL cannot be DUG.²⁸¹

There are few traces preserved in the line before QU, but the tablet is too damaged to allow a reconstruction.

The final lines of the prayer probably correspond to the typical closing section of Akkadian prayers, defined by von Soden "Das Dankversprechen" and by Mayer "Gebetsschluss". Final petitions are normally found in this part, followed by praises of the deities. This ending reflects the public character of praise within Mesopotamian prayers (see above, § 2.3.1): the supplicant extols the addressed god before all the other deities, and before all of humanity as well, thus showing his gratitude, in anticipation of future salvation. I accept the restoration offered by von Soden, who further suggests that a first person precative could also be a possible reconstruction. A third-person form is nevertheless more likely, if one considers the third person singular suffixes occurring in the preceding lines (Il. 216-17), which all refer to the penitent. The verb šamāru Gtn is

²⁷⁷ Lambert 1960, 169. Cf. the note on this word in the latest study on the fable: Jiménez 2017a, 67.

²⁷⁸ Von Soden 1971, 71.

²⁷⁹ Weiershauser, Hrůša 2018, 205-6.

²⁸⁰ Deller, Mayer, Oelsner 1989, 274.

²⁸¹ Oshima 2011. 19.

²⁸² Soden 1957-71, 161; Mayer 1976, 307. For the structure of this prayer see the introduction, \S 2.1.1.

²⁸³ Mayer 1976, 309.

often found in the "Final Salutation", together with other verbs meaning 'to praise', 'to commend', for example karābu Gtn, dalālu, nâdu Dtn.²⁸⁴ The verbal forms are often followed by the object of praise, namely a noun in the accusative case. The nouns that commonly appear in this final section are ilūtu 'divinity', dalīlu 'praise', narbû 'greatness', qurdu 'strength'.285